UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

[X] Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the fiscal year ended July 31, 2002
or
[] Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from to
Commission file numbers 1-11331 and 333-06693
Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp.
(Exact name of registrants as specified in their charters)
Delaware 43-1698480 Delaware 43-1742520
(State or other jurisdictions of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification Nos.)
One Liberty Plaza, Liberty, Missouri 64068
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (816) 792-1600
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:
Name of each exchange on Title of each class which registered
Common Units New York Stock Exchange
Securities registered pursuant to section 12(g) of the Act: None
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.
Yes [X] No []
Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [X]
The aggregate market value as of September 30, 2002, of the registrant's Common Units held by nonaffiliates of the registrant, based on the reported closing price of such units on the New York Stock Exchange on such date, was approximately \$362,574,000.
At September 30, 2002, Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. had outstanding 36,089,703 Common Units and 2,782,211 Senior Units.
Documents Incorporated by Reference: None
EEDDELLOAG DADTNEDG L D
FERRELLGAS PARTNERS, L.P. FERRELLGAS PARTNERS FINANCE CORP.
2002 FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT
Table of Contents
Page
PART I
ITEM 1. BUSINESS

ITEM	5.	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S UNITS AND
		RELATED UNITHOLDER MATTERS11
ITEM	6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA12
ITEM	7.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
		FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS14
ITEM	7A.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK27
ITEM	8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA28
ITEM	9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON
		ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE28
		PART III
ITEM	10.	DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANTS29
ITEM	11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION
ITEM	12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS
		AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS34
ITEM	13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS37
ITEM	14.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES38
		PART IV
ITEM	15.	EVILIDITE FINANCIAL CTATEMENT COLEDILIES AND
TIEM	13.	EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K
		KEPUKIS UN FURM 8-K38

ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. is a Delaware limited partnership. Our common units are listed on the New York Stock Exchange and our activities are primarily conducted through our subsidiary Ferrellgas, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership. We are the sole limited partner of Ferrellgas, L.P. with a 99% limited partner interest. In this report, unless the context indicates otherwise the terms "our", "we" and "its" are used sometimes as abbreviated references to Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. itself or Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries, including Ferrellgas, L.P.

Ferrellgas, L.P. was formed on April 22, 1994, and accounts for substantially all of our consolidated assets, sales and operating earnings, except for interest expense related to \$160,000,000 of 9.375% Senior Secured Notes due 2006. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Financing Activities" for additional details about our redemption in September 2002 of the Senior Secured Notes with the proceeds from the issuance of \$170,000,000 of 8.75% Senior Notes due 2012.

Our general partner, Ferrellgas, Inc., performs all management functions for us and our subsidiaries, including both Ferrellgas, L.P. and Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp. Ferrellgas, Inc. holds an approximate 1% general partner interest in both us and in Ferrellgas, L.P. Ferrell Companies, Inc., the parent company of Ferrellgas, Inc., owns approximately 50% of our outstanding common units.

General

We are the second largest retail marketer of propane in the United States based on retail gallons sold during our fiscal year ended July 31, 2002, representing approximately 11% of the retail propane gallons sold in the United States. We have 564 retail outlets, serving more than 1 million residential, industrial/commercial and agricultural and other customers in 45 states. Our operations primarily include the retail distribution and sale of propane and related equipment and supplies and extend from coast to coast with concentrations in the Midwest, Southeast, Southwest and Northwest regions of the country.

Our retail propane distribution business consists principally of transporting propane purchased from third parties to retail distribution outlets and then to tanks on customers' premises, as well as to portable propane cylinders. In the residential and commercial markets, propane is primarily used for space heating, water heating and cooking. In the agricultural market, propane is primarily used for crop drying, space heating, irrigation and weed control. In addition, propane is used for a variety of industrial applications, including use as an engine fuel which is burned in internal combustion engines that power vehicles and forklifts, and use as a heating or energy source in manufacturing and drying processes.

In our past three fiscal years, we reported annual retail propane sales volumes of:

	Retail propane gallons sold
Fiscal year ended	(in millions)
July 31, 2002	832
July 31, 2001	957
July 31, 2000	847

The decrease in gallons sold from our fiscal year 2001 to 2002 is primarily due to national average heating season temperatures that were 12% warmer than normal in fiscal 2002 compared to 6% colder than normal for the same period last year. For our fiscal year 2001, our retail propane sales volumes included a full year's contribution from the Thermogas operations we acquired in December 1999. With this acquisition, we acquired more than 180 retail locations primarily in the Midwest, complementing our historically strong presence in that region. We integrated these Thermogas operations with our existing operations resulting in significant cost savings.

Our History

We are a Delaware limited partnership that was formed in 1994 in connection with our initial public offering. Our operations began in 1939 as a single location propane retailer in Atchison, Kansas. Our initial growth largely resulted from small acquisitions in rural areas of eastern Kansas, northern and central Missouri, Iowa, western Illinois, southern Minnesota, South Dakota and Texas. Since 1986, we have acquired more than 100 propane retailers, expanding our operations from coast to coast, and as of July 31, 2002, we have 564 retail outlets nationwide. Our three largest acquisitions since 1994 have been:

Date Acquired	Estimated Retail Gallons Acquired (in millions)
December 1999	270
May 1996	93
November 1994	47
	December 1999 May 1996

Business Strategy

Our business strategy is to:

- o achieve operating efficiencies through the utilization of technology and process enhancements in our operations;
- o capitalize on our national presence and economies of scale;
- expand our operations through disciplined acquisitions and internal growth;
 and
- align employee interest with investors through significant employee ownership.

Using technology to improve operations. During 2001, we completed a review of our key business processes to identify several areas where we can use new technology and process enhancements to improve our operational efficiency. Specifically, we have identified areas where we believe we can reduce operating expenses and improve customer satisfaction in the near future. These areas of opportunity include development of new technology to improve our routing and scheduling of customer deliveries, customer administration and operational workflow. During our fiscal year 2002, we allocated considerable resources toward these improvements, including the purchase of computer hardware and software and development of new software. We have incurred growth and maintenance capital expenditures of \$30,070,000 related to this technology and process enhancement initiative which was funded primarily from excess cash generated from operations during our record financial performance in fiscal year 2001 - a year in which we achieved net earnings of \$64,068,000. These capital expenditures represent a substantial majority of the capital expenditures we expect to incur in connection with this initiative. We began a pilot of some of these technology and process enhancements in a limited geographic area in fiscal 2003. This pilot program currently affects less than 5% of our retail operations and is being used to test and further refine the technology and process enhancements. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Investing Activities" for additional details about the technology and process enhancement initiative.

Capitalizing on our national presence and economies of scale. We believe our national presence of 564 retail outlets and estimated 11% market share of retail propane gallons sold in the United States gives us advantages over our smaller competitors. These advantages include economies of scale in areas such as:

- o product procurement;
- o transportation;
- o fleet purchases;
- o customer administration; and
- o general administration.

Our national presence also allows us to be one of the few propane retailers that can competitively serve commercial customers on a nationwide basis. In addition, we believe that our presence in 45 states provides us opportunities to make acquisitions of other retail propane companies that overlap with our existing operations, providing economies of scale and significant cost savings in these markets. Our most recent significant acquisition was Thermogas in December 1999. Over 130 of the 180 acquired Thermogas retail locations were integrated with our existing retail locations.

Employing a disciplined acquisition strategy and achieving internal growth. We expect to continue the expansion of our customer base through the acquisition of other retail propane distributors. We intend to concentrate on acquisition activities in geographical areas adjacent to our existing operations, and on a selected basis, in areas that broaden our geographic coverage. We also intend to focus on acquisitions that can be efficiently combined with our existing operations to provide an attractive return on investment after taking into account the cost savings we anticipate will result from those combinations. Our goal is to improve the operations and profitability of the businesses we acquire by integrating them into our established national organization. We also believe that, as a result of our industry leadership and efficient operating standards, we are positioned to successfully compete for growth opportunities within our existing operating regions and have implemented marketing programs that focus specific resources towards internal growth.

Aligning employee interests with our investors. In 1998, we established an employee benefit plan that we believe aligns the interests of employees with those of our investors. Through the Ferrell Companies, Inc. Employee Stock Ownership Trust, employees own approximately 50% of our outstanding common units, allowing them to participate directly in our overall success. This plan is unique in the retail propane distribution industry and we believe that the entrepreneurial culture fostered by employee-ownership provides us with a distinct competitive advantage.

Retail Distribution of Propane and Related Equipment and Supplies

Our retail propane distribution business consists principally of transporting propane purchased from third parties to retail distribution outlets and then to tanks on customers' premises, as well as to portable propane cylinders. Our market areas are generally rural, but also include suburban areas for industrial applications. We utilize marketing programs targeting both new and existing customers by emphasizing our efficiency in delivering propane to customers as well as our employee training and safety programs.

We sell propane primarily to four markets: residential, industrial/commercial, agricultural and other, with "other" being principally to other propane retailers. In fiscal 2002, no one customer accounted for 10% or more of our consolidated revenues. The retail distribution of bulk propane generally involves large numbers of small volume deliveries averaging approximately 200 gallons each. Our bulk deliveries of propane are transported from our retail distribution outlets to our customers by our fleet of 2,247 bulk delivery trucks, which are generally fitted with 2,000 to 3,000 gallon tanks. Propane storage tanks located on our customers' premises are then filled from these bulk delivery trucks. We also deliver propane to our customers in portable cylinders using primarily our fleet of 505 cylinder delivery trucks.

For our 2002 fiscal year, we derived approximately 90% of our gross profit from the retail distribution and sale of propane and related risk management activities. Gross profit derived from our retail distribution of propane was derived primarily from three sources:

- o 58% from residential customers;
- o 30% from industrial/commercial customers; and
- o 12% from agricultural and other customers.

Our gross profit from the retail distribution of propane is primarily based on margins, the cents-per-gallon difference between our purchase price and the sales price we charge our customers. Our residential customers typically provide us a greater margin and tend to be a more stable customer base and less sensitive to price changes than our industrial/commercial and agricultural and other customers. Should wholesale propane prices decline in the future, our margins on the distribution of propane to retail customers should increase in the short-term because retail prices have tended to change less rapidly than wholesale prices. Should the wholesale cost of propane increase, for similar reasons retail margins and profitability would likely be reduced, at least for the short-term, until retail prices can be increased.

Retail propane bulk customers typically lease their storage tanks from their distributors. Over 70% of our retail propane bulk customers lease their tanks from us. Our lease terms and the fire safety regulations in some states require leased tanks to be filled only by the propane supplier owning the tank. The cost and inconvenience of switching tanks minimizes a customer's tendency to switch suppliers of propane on the basis of minor variations in price, which helps us minimize customer loss.

Our retail operations also include the retail sale of propane appliances and related parts and fittings, leasing tanks to retail customers, and other retail propane related services.

Seasonality and Effect of Weather

The market for propane is seasonal because propane is used primarily for heating in residential and commercial buildings. Consequently, sales and operating profits are concentrated in our second and third fiscal quarters. In addition, sales volume traditionally fluctuates from year to year in response to variations in weather, price and other factors. We believe that our broad geographic distribution helps us minimize exposure to regional weather and economic patterns. The weather has been significantly warmer than normal in four of the last five winter heating seasons. Despite reduced gallon sales during these warmer than normal periods, and the completion in 1999 of our largest acquisition since 1994, we have been able to maintain our debt to cash flow ratio while continuing to pay quarterly distributions to our unitholders. See additional information about debt to cash flow ratios and the payment of quarterly distributions in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources." In addition, during times of colder than normal winter weather, we have been able to take advantage of our large, efficient distribution network to avoid supply disruptions such as those experienced by some of our competitors, thereby broadening our long-term customer base.

Risk Management Activities

Our risk management activities primarily attempt to mitigate risks related to the purchasing, storing and transporting of propane. We generally purchase propane in the contract and spot markets from major domestic energy companies on a short-term basis. Our costs to purchase and distribute propane fluctuate with the movement of market prices. That fluctuation subjects us to potential price risk, which we attempt to minimize through the use of risk management activities.

Our risk management activities include the use of energy commodity forward contracts, swaps and options traded on the over-the-counter financial markets and futures and options traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange. These risk management activities are conducted primarily to offset the effect of market price fluctuations on propane inventory and purchase commitments and to mitigate the price risk on sale commitments to our customers.

Our risk management activities are intended to generate a profit, which we then apply to reduce our cost of product sold. The results of risk management activities directly related to the delivery of propane to our retail customers, which includes our supply procurement, storage and transportation activities, are presented in our discussion of retail margins and are accounted for at cost. The results of other risk management activities are presented separately in our discussion of cost of product sold as risk management trading activities and are accounted for at fair value. The results from these risk management activities are included in our discussions of cost of product sold and gross profit in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Results of Operations."

Risk management activities - supply procurement, storage and transportation

Through our supply procurement activities, we purchase propane primarily from major domestic energy companies. Supplies of propane from these sources have traditionally been readily available, although no assurance can be given that they will be readily available in the future. As a result of our ability to buy large volumes of propane and utilize our large distribution system and underground storage capacity, we believe we are in a position to achieve product cost savings and avoid shortages during periods of tight supply to an extent not generally available to other retail propane distributors. We are not dependent upon any single supplier or group of suppliers, the loss of which would have a material adverse effect on us. For our fiscal year ended July 31, 2002, no supplier provided us 10% or more of our total propane purchases.

A portion of our propane inventory is purchased under supply contracts that typically have a one-year term and a price that fluctuates based on the spot market prices. In order to limit overall price risk, we will enter into fixed price over-the-counter energy commodity forward contracts that have terms of less than one year. We also use options to hedge a portion of our forecasted purchases for up to one year in the future.

Through our storage activities, we may purchase and store inventories of propane to avoid delivery interruptions during periods of increased demand and to take advantage of favorable commodity prices. We own three underground and four above-ground storage facilities with an aggregate capacity of approximately 248 million gallons. As of July 31, 2002, approximately 174 million gallons of this capacity is leased to third parties and revenues from these leases are included in other revenue in our Consolidated Statements of Earnings. The remaining space is available for our use. We also lease underground and above-ground storage at third party storage facilities and pipeline terminals.

We incur risks related to the price and availability of propane during periods of much colder than normal weather, temporary supply shortages concentrated in certain geographic regions, and commodity price distortions between geographic regions. In addition to the use of other risk management activities, we attempt to mitigate these risks through our transportation activities by utilizing our transport truck and railroad tank car fleet to distribute propane between supply or storage locations and retail distribution outlets. The propane we sell to our customers is generally transported from natural gas processing plants and refineries, pipeline terminals and storage facilities to distribution outlets or storage facilities by our 265 leased railroad tank cars and 202 owned or leased highway transport trucks. We may use common carrier transport trucks during the peak delivery season in the winter months or to provide service in areas where economic considerations favor common carrier use.

We also purchase and sell derivatives to manage other risks associated with commodity prices. Our risk management trading activities utilize various types of energy commodity forward contracts, options, swaps traded on the over-the-counter financial markets and futures and options traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange to manage and hedge our exposure to the volatility of floating commodity prices and to protect our inventory positions. These risk management trading activities are intended to generate a profit, which we then apply to reduce our cost of product sold. Although these activities attempt to mitigate our commodity price risk, they do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment and are accounted for at fair value in the Consolidated Statement of Earnings.

For further discussions about our risk management trading activities, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Results of Operations", "Liquidity and Capital Resources - Disclosures about Risk Management Activities Accounted for at Fair Value" and "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk."

Industry

Natural gas liquids are derived from petroleum products and are sold in compressed or liquefied form. Propane, the predominant type of natural gas liquid, is typically extracted from natural gas or separated during crude oil refining. Although propane is gaseous at normal pressures, it is compressed into liquid form at relatively low pressures for storage and transportation. Propane is a clean-burning energy source, recognized for its transportability and ease of use relative to alternative forms of stand-alone energy sources.

Based upon industry publications, propane accounts for approximately 3% to 4% of household energy consumption in the United States, an average level which has remained relatively constant for the past two decades. Propane competes primarily with natural gas, electricity and fuel oil as an energy source principally on the basis of price, availability and portability. Propane serves as an alternative to natural gas in rural and suburban areas where natural gas is unavailable or portability of product is required. Propane is generally more expensive than natural gas on an equivalent British Thermal Unit (BTU) basis in locations served by natural gas, although propane is often sold in such areas as a standby fuel for use during peak demands and during interruption in natural gas service. The expansion of natural gas into traditional propane markets has historically been inhibited by the capital costs required to expand distribution and pipeline systems. Although the extension of natural gas pipelines tends to displace propane distribution in the neighborhoods affected, we believe that new opportunities for propane sales arise as more geographically remote neighborhoods are developed.

Propane is generally less expensive to use than electricity for space heating, water heating and cooking and competes effectively with electricity in those parts of the country where propane is cheaper than electricity on an equivalent BTU basis. Although propane is similar to fuel oil in application, market demand and price, propane and fuel oil have generally developed their own distinct geographic markets. Because residential furnaces and appliances that burn propane will not operate on fuel oil, a conversion from one fuel to the other requires the installation of new equipment. Residential retail propane customers will have an incentive to switch to fuel oil only if fuel oil becomes significantly less expensive than propane. Conversely, we may be unable to expand our customer base in areas where fuel oil is widely used, particularly the northeast United States, unless propane becomes significantly less expensive than fuel oil. However, many industrial customers who use propane as a heating fuel have the capacity to switch to other fuels, such as fuel oil, on the basis of availability or minor variations in price.

Competition

In addition to competing with marketers of other fuels, we compete with other companies engaged in the retail propane distribution business. Competition within the propane distribution industry stems from two types of participants: the larger, multi-state marketers, including farmers' cooperatives, and the smaller, local independent marketers, including rural electric cooperatives. Based upon industry publications, we believe that the ten largest multi-state retail marketers of propane, including ourselves, account for approximately 49% of the total retail sales of propane in the United States and that there are approximately 5,000 local or regional distributors. We believe we are the second largest retail marketer of propane in the United States based on retail gallocs largest retail marketer of propane in the United States based on retail gallons sold during our fiscal year ended July 31, 2002, representing approximately 11% of the retail propane gallons sold in the United States.

Most of our retail distribution outlets compete with three or more marketers or distributors, the principal factors being price and service. We compete with other retail marketers primarily on the basis of reliability of service and responsiveness to customer needs, safety and price. Each retail distribution outlet operates in its own competitive environment because retail marketers typically reside in close proximity to their customers to lower the cost of providing service. The typical retail distribution outlet has an effective marketing radius of approximately 25 miles.

Other Activities

Our other activities include the following:

- o wholesale propane marketing;
- o wholesale marketing of propane appliances; o the sale of refined fuels;
- o chemical feedstocks marketing;
- o natural gas liquids storage; and
- o common carrier services.

These activities together with the retail sale of propane appliances and related parts and fittings, the leasing of tanks to retail customers, and other retail propane related services comprised approximately 10% of our gross profit in our fiscal year 2002.

We engage in the wholesale marketing and distribution of propane to other retail propane distributors. In our past three fiscal years, we made the following sales to wholesale customers:

(in millions)

Fiscal year ended	Wholesale Gallons Sold	Wholesale Revenues	
July 31, 2002	92	\$ 39.6	
July 31, 2001	97	\$ 65.1	
July 31, 2000	99	\$ 43.4	

Employees

We have no employees and are managed by our general partner, Ferrellgas, Inc. pursuant to the partnership agreement. At September 30, 2002, our general partner had 4,264 full-time employees and 809 temporary and part-time employees. Our general partner employed its full-time employees in the following areas:

Retail Locations	3,633
Transportation and Storage	236
Corporate Offices in Liberty, MO and Houston, TX	395
Total	4,264

Less than one percent of our general partner's employees are represented by four local labor unions, which are all affiliated with the International Brotherhood of Teamsters. Our general partner has not experienced any significant work stoppages or other labor problems.

Our risk management activities, wholesale propane marketing, chemical feedstocks marketing, and other related functions are operated primarily out of our offices located in Houston, Texas by a total full-time corporate staff of 73 people

Governmental Regulation - Environmental and Safety Matters

We are not subject to any price or allocation regulation of propane and propane is not a hazardous substance within the meaning of federal and state environmental laws.

In connection with all acquisitions of retail propane businesses that involve the purchase of real estate, we conduct a due diligence investigation to attempt to determine whether any substance other than propane has been sold from or stored on any such real estate prior to its purchase. At a minimum, due diligence includes questioning the sellers, obtaining representations and warranties concerning the sellers' compliance with environmental laws and visual inspections of the properties.

With respect to the transportation of propane by truck, we are subject to regulations promulgated under the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Act. These regulations cover the transportation of hazardous materials and are administered by the United States Department of Transportation. The National Fire Protection Association Pamphlet No. 58 established a set of rules and procedures governing the safe handling of propane. Those rules and procedures have been adopted as the industry standard in a majority of the states in which we operate.

We believe that we are in material compliance with all governmental regulations and industry standards applicable to environmental and safety matters. The Department of Transportation established new regulations addressing emergency discharge control issues that became effective on July 1, 1999 with various requirements phased in over the next seven years. We have implemented the required discharge control systems and are in compliance in all material respects with current regulatory requirements.

Trademarks and Service Marks

We market our goods and services under various trademarks and tradenames, which we own or have a right to use. Those trademarks and tradenames include marks or pending marks before the United States Patent and Trademark Office such as Ferrellgas, Ferrell North America, Ferrellmeter, American Energy Incorporated, NRG Distributors and Thermogas. Our general partner has an option to purchase for a nominal value the tradenames "Ferrellgas" and "Ferrell North America" and the trademark "Ferrellmeter" that it contributed to us during 1994, if Ferrellgas, Inc. is removed as our general partner other than for cause. If Ferrellgas, Inc. ceases to serve as our general partner for any other reason, it will have the option to purchase the tradenames and trademark from us for fair market value.

8

Businesses of Other Subsidiaries

Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp. is a Delaware corporation formed in 1996 and is our wholly-owned subsidiary. Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp. has nominal assets and does not conduct any operations, but serves as a co-obligor for our securities. Accordingly, a discussion of the results of operations, liquidity and capital resources of Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp. is not presented. Institutional investors that might otherwise be limited in their ability to invest in our securities, because we are a partnership, may be able to invest in our securities because Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp. is a co-obligor. See the Notes to Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp.'s Financial Statements for a discussion of the securities with respect to which Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp. is serving as a co-obligor.

Ferrellgas Receivables, LLC was organized in September 2000, and is a wholly-owned, qualifying special purpose entity and a subsidiary of Ferrellgas, L.P. Ferrellgas, L.P. transfers interests in a pool of accounts receivable to Ferrellgas Receivables. Ferrellgas Receivables then sells the interests to a commercial paper conduit of Banc One, NA. Ferrellgas Receivables does not conduct any other activities. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 140 "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of

Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities," Ferrellgas Receivables is accounted for using the equity method of accounting. The accounts receivable securitization is more fully described in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Investing Activities" and Note E to the Consolidated Financial Statements provided herein.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

We own or lease the following transportation equipment that is utilized primarily in the retail distribution of propane.

	0wned	Leased	Total
Truck tractors	65	137	202
Transport trailers	332	36	368
Cylinder delivery trucks	327	178	505
Bulk delivery trucks	1,337	910	2,247
Pickup and service trucks	1,135	477	1,612
Railroad tank cars		265	265

The transport trailers have an average capacity of approximately 9,000 gallons. The bulk delivery trucks are generally fitted with 2,000 to 3,000 gallon tanks. Each railroad tank car has a capacity of approximately 30,000 gallons.

A typical retail distribution outlet is located on one to three acres of land and includes a small office, a workshop, bulk storage capacity of 18,000 to 60,000 gallons and a small inventory of customer storage tanks and portable propane cylinders that we provide to our retail customers for propane storage. At July 31, 2002, we owned approximately 40 million gallons of propane storage at our retail distribution outlets. We own our land and buildings in the local markets of approximately half of our operating locations and lease the remaining facilities on terms customary in the industry.

We either own or lease approximately 1,000,000 propane tanks, most of which are located on customer property and leased to those customers. We also own approximately 700,000 portable propane cylinders, most of which we lease to industrial and commercial customers. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Financing Activities" for a discussion of the operating tank leases involving a portion of our customer tanks.

We own underground storage facilities at Hutchinson, Kansas; Adamana, Arizona; and Moab, Utah and four above-ground storage facilities primarily located in the Upper Midwest and North Carolina that together hold 248 million gallons of product.

(in millions of gallons)

Location	Storage Capacity
Adamana, Arizona	96
Hutchinson, Kansas	142
Moab, Utah and above-ground storage	10
Total	248
	===

Currently, we lease approximately 174 million gallons of this capacity to third parties. The remaining space is available for our use.

We own land and two buildings with 50,245 square feet of office space and lease 6,250 square feet of office space that together comprise our corporate headquarters in Liberty, Missouri, and lease 27,696 square feet of office space in Houston, Texas.

We believe that we have satisfactory title to or valid rights to use all of our material properties. Although some of those properties may be subject to liabilities and leases, liens for taxes not yet currently due and payable and immaterial encumbrances, easements and restrictions, we do not believe that any such burdens will materially interfere with the continued use of such properties in our business. We believe that we have obtained, or are in the process of obtaining, all required material approvals. These approvals include authorizations, orders, licenses, permits, franchises, consents of, registrations, qualifications and filings with, the various state and local governmental and regulatory authorities which relate to our ownership of properties or to our operations.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

Propane is a flammable, combustible gas. Serious personal injury and property damage can occur in connection with its transportation, storage or use. In the ordinary course of business, we are sometimes threatened with or are named as a defendant in various lawsuits seeking actual and punitive damages for product liability, personal injury and property damage. We maintain liability insurance policies with insurers in amounts and with coverages and deductibles we believe are reasonable and prudent. However, there can be no assurance that the levels of insurance protection currently in effect will be continuously available at reasonable prices or adequate to protect us from material expenses related to product liability, personal injury or property damage in the future.

Currently, we are not a party to any legal proceedings other than various claims and lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of business. It is not possible to determine the ultimate disposition of these lawsuits. However, we believe that there are no known claims or known contingent claims that will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

None

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S UNITS AND RELATED UNITHOLDER MATTERS.

Common Units

Our common units representing limited partner interests are listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol FGP. As of September 30, 2002, we had 799 common unitholders of record. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices for the common units on the New York Stock Exchange and the cash distributions declared per common unit for the periods indicated.

	Common Unit Price Range		Distributions Declared Per Unit		
	High Low 2001		1		
First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter	\$16.94 \$13.00 15.99 12.50 19.85 14.92 21.24 18.55		\$0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50		
		2002	2		
First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter	19.89 20.46 19.90 20.11	16.95 17.90 18.32 16.58	\$0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50		

We make quarterly cash distributions of our available cash, as defined by our partnership agreement. Available cash is generally defined as consolidated cash receipts less consolidated cash disbursements and changes in cash reserves established by our general partner for future requirements. To the extent necessary, we will generally reserve cash inflows from the second and third quarters for distribution in the first and fourth fiscal quarters. Based upon our current financial condition and results of operations, our general partner currently believes that during our fiscal year 2003 we will be able to make quarterly cash distributions per common unit comparable to those quarterly distributions made during our last two fiscal years, however, no assurances can be given that such distributions will be made or the amount of such distributions. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources" for a discussion of the financial tests and covenants which place limits on the amount of cash that we can use to pay distributions.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

During our fiscal year 2002, we made the following issuances of common units in reliance on one or more exemptions from registration under the Securities Act:

- On November 29, 2001, we issued 80,000 common units to the Alabama Butane Company pursuant to the Purchase and Noncompetition Agreement as a portion of our consideration for our acquisition of assets from Alabama Butane. These common units were issued to Alabama Butane Company pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.
- On December 12, 2001, we issued 37,487 common units to our affiliate, Ferrellgas Acquisitions Company, LLC pursuant to the Contribution and Conveyance Agreement entered by and between Ferrellgas Acquisition Company, LLC and Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. as consideration for its retention of certain tax liabilities purchased in connection with the acquisition of Blue Flame. These common units were issued to Ferrellgas Acquisitions Company pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

Partnership Tax Matters

We are a master limited partnership and thus not subject to federal income taxes. Instead, our unitholders are required to report for income tax purposes their allocable share of our income, gains, losses, deductions and credits, regardless of whether we make distributions. Accordingly, each prospective unitholder should consult their own tax advisor in analyzing the federal, state, and local tax consequences applicable to their ownership or disposition of our common units.

Due to the effect of our issuance of senior units in December 1999, our tax year has recently changed to a December year-end in accordance with Internal Revenue Code and Regulations. Accordingly, we will file our partnership tax returns for both the tax year ended July 31, 2002, and the five-month period ended December 31, 2002. Thus individual unitholders who owned our units during this entire 17-month period will report their allocable share of our income, gains, losses, deductions and credits for this 17-month period on their 2002 income tax forms.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

The following table presents our selected consolidated historical financial data.

(in thousands, except per unit data)

Ferrellgas	Dartnare	1 0

	Year Ended July 31,				
	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Income Statement Data:					
Total revenues	\$1,034,796	\$1,468,670	\$959,023	\$633,349	\$623,775
Interest expense	59,608	61,544	58,298	46,621	
Earnings before extraordinary loss Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common and subordinated unit-	59, 959	64,068		14,783	4, 943
Earnings (loss) before extraordinary loss	1.34	1.43	(0.32)	0.47	0.16
Cash distributions declared per common					
and subordinated unit	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Balance Sheet Data at end of period:					
Working capital	\$ 9,436	\$ 22,062	\$ (6,344)	\$(4,567)	\$ (443)
Total assets	. ,		967,907	,	
Long-term debt		704,782			
Partners' capital:	21,161	37,987		(69,651)	(11,083)
Operating Data:					
Retail propane sales volumes (in gallons)	831,592	956,718	846,664	680,477	659,932
Capital expenditures					
Maintenance	\$ 9,576	\$11,996	\$ 8.917	\$ 10,505	\$ 10,569
Growth	4,826	3,152	11,838	15,238	10,060
Technology initiative	30,070	100	,	,	,
Acquisition	10,962	1,417	310,260	48,749	13,003
Total	\$55,434 =======	\$16,665 =======	\$331,015 =======	\$ 74,492 ======	\$33,632 =======

Ferrellgas Partners, L.P.

	Year Ended July 31,				
	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Supplemental Data: Net cash provided by operating activities	\$152,925	\$99,859	\$53,352	\$ 92,494	\$74,337
Operating income Add: Depreciation and amortization ESOP compensation charge Loss (gain) on disposal of assets and other	118,915 41,937 5,218 3,957	126,691 56,523 4,843 5,744	57,091 61,633 3,733 (356)	60,497 47,257 3,295 1,842	52,586 45,009 350
EBITDA	\$170,027 =======	\$193,801 ======	\$122,101 =======	\$112,891 ======	\$ 98,119 ======

We define EBITDA as earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation, amortization, other charges and non-cash items such as employee stock ownership plan compensation charge and gain or loss on disposal of assets and other. EBITDA provides additional information for evaluating our ability to make debt service obligations, capital expenditures and quarterly distributions and is presented solely as a supplemental measure. You should not consider EBITDA as an alternative to operating income, net cash provided by operating activities or any other measure of financial performance presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Our EBITDA may not be comparable to EBITDA or similarly titled measures of other entities as other entities may not calculate EBITDA in the same manner as we do.

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased significantly in the year ended July 31, 2002, due to the elimination of goodwill amortization and in the year ended July 31, 2001, due to a change in the estimated residual value of our customer and storage tanks. See additional discussion about these changes in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Results of Operations" and in our Notes D and F to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our capital expenditures fall generally into four categories:

- o maintenance capital expenditures, which include capitalized expenditures for repair and replacement of property, plant and equipment;
- o growth capital expenditures, which include expenditures for purchases of new propane tanks and other equipment to facilitate expansion of our customer base and operating capacity;
- o technology and process enhancement initiative capital expenditures, which include expenditures for purchase of computer hardware and software and the development of new software; and
- acquisition capital expenditures, which include expenditures related to the acquisition of retail propane operations. Acquisition capital expenditures represent the total cost of acquisitions less working capital acquired. Our fiscal 2001 capital expenditures do not include a \$4,638,000 adjustment made in the second fiscal quarter of fiscal 2001 to working capital related to a final valuation adjustment to record the Thermogas acquisition. We acquired Thermogas in December 1999 for a total acquisition cost, less working capital acquired, of approximately \$307,000,000. The Thermogas acquisition contributed a significant increase in our total revenues, interest expense, earnings before extraordinary loss, operating income, depreciation and amortization, and EBITDA in the years ended July 31, 2001 and 2000. This acquisition also contributed to a significant increase in total assets, long-term debt and partners' capital as of July 31, 2000 as compared to July 31, 1999.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

The following is a discussion of our historical financial condition and results of operations and should be read in conjunction with our historical Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying Notes thereto included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

On January 22, 2002, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued cautionary advice recommending various disclosures. We have provided the recommended disclosures as follows:

- o liquidity and capital resources, including off-balance sheet arrangements; see discussion in "Liquidity and Capital Resources - Investing Activities",
- o trading activities; see discussion regarding the fair value of our risk management trading contracts in "Liquidity and Capital Resources Disclosures about Risk Management Activities Accounted for at Fair Value",
- o transactions with related and certain other parties; see discussion regarding the nature of these transactions in "Disclosures about Effects of Transactions with Related Parties."

Forward-looking statements

Statements included in this report include forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act and Section 27A of the Securities Act. These forward-looking statements are identified as any statement that does not relate strictly to historical or current facts. They use words such as "anticipate," "believe," "intend," "plan," "projection," "forecast," "strategy," "position," "continue," "estimate," "expect," "may," "will," or the negative of those terms or other variations of them or comparable terminology. In particular, statements, express or implied, concerning future operating results, or the ability to generate sales, income or cash flow are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance. They involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Our future results may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements. Many of the factors that will determine these results are beyond our ability to control or predict. These statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

- o whether Ferrellgas, L.P. will have sufficient funds 1) to meet its obligations and to enable it to distribute to us sufficient funds to permit us to meet our obligations with respect to our \$170,000,000 senior notes due 2012 and 2) assuming all quarterly financial tests required by various financing instruments are met, to pay the required distribution on our senior units and the minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.50 per common unit;
- o whether or not we will continue to meet all of the quarterly financial tests required by the agreements governing our indebtedness; and
- o the expectation that future periods may not have the same percentage decrease in retail volumes, revenues and expenses as was experienced in fiscal 2002

You should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in or implied by such statements. The risks and uncertainties and their effect on our operations include, but are not limited to, the following risks, which are more fully described in the our Securities Act filings:

- o the retail propane industry is a mature one;
- o the effect of weather conditions on demand for propane;
- o increases in propane prices may cause higher levels of conservation by our customers;
- o price, availability and inventory risk of propane supplies, including risk management activities;
- o the timing of collections of the our accounts receivable and increases in product costs and demand may decrease our working capital availability;

- o the availability of capacity to transport propane to market areas;
- o competition from other energy sources and within the propane industry:
- o operating risks incidental to transporting, storing, and distributing propane, including the litigation risks which may not be covered by insurance;
- o we may not be successful in making acquisitions;
- o changes in interest rates, including the refinancing of long-term financing at favorable interest rates;
- o governmental legislation and regulations;
- o energy efficiency and technology trends may affect demand for propane;
- o the condition of the capital markets in the United States;
- the political and economic stability of the oil producing nations;
- o we may sell additional limited partner interests, thus diluting existing interests of our unitholders;
- o the distribution priority to our common units owned by the public terminates no later than December 31, 2005;
- o the holder of our senior units may have the right in the future to convert the senior units into common units;
- o the holder of our senior units may be able to sell the senior units or convert into common units with special indemnification rights available to the holder from us;
- o a redemption of the senior units may be dilutive to our common unitholders;
- o the terms of the senior units limit our use of proceeds from sales of equity and the rights of our common unitholders;
- o the current holder of the senior units has a special voting exemption if the senior units convert into common units; and
- o the expectation that the remaining senior units will be redeemed in the future with proceeds from an offering of equity at a price satisfactory to us.

Results of Operations

Fiscal Year Ended July 31, 2002 versus Fiscal Year Ended July 31, 2001

Gas liquid and related product sales. Total gas liquids and related product sales decreased \$240,126,000 due to a decrease in the average propane sales price per gallon and an additional \$188,697,000 primarily due to a significant decrease in retail propane sales volume.

The average propane sales price per gallon decreased due to the effect of a significant decrease in the wholesale cost of propane. In addition, retail sales volumes decreased 13.1% to 831,592,000 gallons in fiscal 2002 as compared to fiscal 2001, primarily due to the effects of the significantly warmer than normal weather and to a lesser extent the weak national economy. The heating season of fiscal 2002 (November through March) was the third warmest in recorded United States history, according to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) data, with national average temperatures 12% warmer than normal compared to 6% colder than normal for the same period last year. During the peak winter heating season (December through February) average national temperatures were 14% warmer than normal.

Other revenues. Other revenues decreased 5.8% in fiscal 2002 as compared to fiscal 2001, primarily due to lower appliance sales and service labor related to the effect of the weak national economy.

Cost of product sold. Cost of product sold decreased \$338,443,000 due to the significant decline in the wholesale cost of propane during fiscal 2002 and an additional \$87,705,000 primarily due to the effect of the decline in retail sales volume compared to last year. The propane wholesale market price at one of the major supply points, Mt. Belvieu, Texas, averaged \$0.37 per gallon during fiscal 2002 compared to an average of \$0.58 per gallon for the prior year. Other major supply points in the United States also experienced significant declines in propane prices. However, cost of product sold increased \$29,468,000 due to exceptional results from risk management trading activities recognized in fiscal 2001 that were not repeated in fiscal 2002. See additional discussion regarding risk management trading activities in "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk."

Gross profit. Gross profit decreased 6.9% primarily due to the effect of a significant decrease in retail propane volumes and to a lesser extent, the decrease in results from risk management trading activities. These factors were partially offset by an increase in retail margin per gallon.

Operating expense. Operating expense decreased 3.0% primarily due to a \$12,980,000 decrease in operating expenses incurred at our retail distribution outlets generally resulting from fewer gallons delivered to customers in fiscal 2002 as compared to fiscal 2001.

General and administrative expense. General and administrative expense increased 6.5% primarily due to increased performance-based incentive compensation expense.

Depreciation and amortization expense. Depreciation and amortization expense decreased 25.8% primarily due to the implementation of SFAS No. 142, which eliminated goodwill amortization. See further discussion of the implementation of SFAS No. 142 in Note B to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Equipment lease expense. Equipment lease expense decreased 20.8% due to the impact that significantly lower interest rates had on our variable rate operating leases as compared to fiscal 2001. See further discussion about these leases in "Liquidity and Capital Resources - Investing Activities" and "Financing Activities."

Loss (gain) on disposal of assets and other. Loss on disposal of assets and other decreased \$1,787,000 primarily due to a decrease in the activity related to the transfer of accounts receivables pursuant to the accounts receivable securitization facility. See further discussion about this facility in "Liquidity and Capital Resources - Investing Activities" and "Financing Activities."

Interest expense. Interest expense decreased 3.1% primarily due to reduced borrowings and the impact that significantly lower interest rates had on our credit facility borrowings. This decrease was partially offset by the effect of the termination of an interest rate swap agreement in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2001.

Forward looking statements. Our gross profit, operating income and net earnings each declined between 6% and 7% from fiscal 2001 to 2002. In fiscal 2002, we also recognized decreases in gas liquids and related product sales, cost of product sold, operating expenses, equipment lease expense, and depreciation and amortization expense. Warm winter weather, a significant decrease in interest rates and the elimination of goodwill amortization during fiscal 2002 largely contributed to these decreases. Assuming that the weather remains the same as in fiscal 2002 or becomes colder and that interest rates remain relatively stable, we do not anticipate similar decreases in revenue, gross profit, operating expenses and operating income as was recognized in fiscal 2002 versus fiscal 2001.

We will implement SFAS No. 143 beginning in the fiscal year ending July 31, 2003, and expect to record a one-time reduction to earnings during the first quarter of fiscal 2003, as a cumulative change in accounting principle, of approximately \$2,800,000. We believe the implementation will not have a material ongoing effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. In addition, as a result of the redemption of our \$160,000,000 senior secured notes in September 2002, we will reflect an approximate \$7,100,000 charge to earnings related to the premium and other costs incurred to redeem the notes plus the write-off of financing costs related to the original issuance of the notes in 1996. See further discussion about this debt redemption in "Liquidity and Capital Resources - Financing Activities."

Gas liquid and related product sales. Total gas liquids and related product sales increased \$317,962,000 due to an increased average sales price per gallon and an additional \$184,598,000 primarily due to increased retail sales volumes. The average sales price per gallon increased due to the effect of a significant increase in the wholesale cost of propane during fiscal 2001, which was significantly higher as compared to fiscal 2000.

Retail sales volumes increased 13.0% to 956,718,000 gallons in fiscal 2001 as compared to 846,664,000 gallons for the prior year, primarily due to the acquisition of Thermogas completed in December 1999 and the effect of colder weather, partially offset by the impact of customer conservation caused by the higher product cost environment. During the heating season of fiscal 2001, temperatures as reported by NOAA were 6% colder than normal as compared to temperatures 16% warmer than normal during the same period in fiscal 2000.

Other revenues. Other revenues increased 8.9% in fiscal 2001 as compared to fiscal 2000, primarily due to the acquisition of Thermogas completed in December 1999

Cost of product sold. Cost of product sold increased \$262,523,000 due to a significant increase in the wholesale cost of propane during fiscal 2001 and an additional \$131,522,000 primarily due to the 13.0% increase in retail sales volumes delivered compared to fiscal 2000. The propane wholesale market price at one of the major supply points, Mt. Belvieu, Texas, averaged \$0.58 per gallon during fiscal 2001 compared to an average of \$0.45 per gallon for the prior year. Other major supply points in the United States also experienced significant increases in propane prices. Cost of product sold increased \$5,093,000 due to lower gains from risk management trading activities in fiscal 2001 compared to the prior year's exceptional performance.

Gross profit. Gross profit increased 25.8% primarily due to increased retail margins, the effect on sales related to the colder than normal weather and the acquired Thermogas operations, partially offset by lower gains from risk management trading activities. See additional discussion regarding risk management trading activities in "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk" and Note J to the Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

Operating expense. Operating expense increased 12.7% primarily due to operating expenses related to the acquired Thermogas operations and to a lesser extent the increased cost of incentives resulting from our improved financial performance. This increase was partially offset by favorable expense management related to the completed integration of the Thermogas acquisition and expense savings initiatives established late in fiscal year 2000.

General and administrative expense. General and administrative expense increased 3.7% primarily due to incentives resulting from the improved financial performance of the company as compared to last year and due to expenses incurred related to business process reviews. Prior to the acquisition by us, Thermogas incurred in excess of \$20,000,000 in general and administrative expenses per year. As a result of our acquisition of Thermogas and the complete integration of the general and administrative services into our operations, we were able to eliminate approximately 90% of these overhead costs, thus realizing the expected general and administrative cost reduction from the acquisition.

Depreciation and amortization expense. Depreciation and amortization expense decreased 8.3% primarily due to the change in the estimated residual values of customer and storage tanks, partially offset by the depreciation and amortization expense from the addition of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets from the Thermogas acquisition. In the first quarter of fiscal 2001, we increased the estimate of the residual values of our existing customer and storage tanks. This increase in the residual values resulted from a review by our management of tank values established through an independent tank valuation obtained in connection with a financing completed in December 1999. Due to this change in the tank residual values, depreciation expense decreased by approximately \$12,000,000, compared to the depreciation that would have been recorded using the previously estimated residual values. The change in estimated residual values will continue to affect future depreciation expense as compared to the depreciation that would have been residual values.

Equipment lease expense. Equipment lease expense increased 21.4% due to the addition of the \$160,000,000 operating leases in December 1999, and to a lesser extent to upgrades to our truck fleet.

Loss (gain) on disposal of assets and other. Loss on disposal of assets and other increased \$6,100,000 primarily due the loss on disposal of fixed assets and losses related to the transfer of accounts receivables pursuant to the accounts receivable securitization. See Note E to the Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information regarding the accounts receivable securitization.

Interest expense. Interest expense increased 5.6% primarily due to the result of increased borrowings related to the Thermogas acquisition, partially offset by the effect of reduced credit facility borrowings during fiscal 2001 and interest rate savings resulting from an interest rate swap arrangement in effect during most of the fiscal year. In June 2001, the interest rate swap agreement was terminated by the counterparty. The reduced credit facility borrowings resulted primarily from the funds generated from the accounts receivable securitization facility. See discussion of the transactions between us and Ferrellgas Receivables in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources - - Financing Activities."

Other charges. On April 6, 2001, we announced a series of transactions that increased the cash distribution coverage to our public unitholders and modified the structure of our outstanding senior units. See additional discussion of this transaction in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Financing Activities." We incurred \$3,277,000 in banking, legal and other fees related to these transactions.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our ability to satisfy our obligations is dependent upon future performance, which will be subject to prevailing economic, financial, business, and weather conditions and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. During fiscal 2002 the United States experienced unusually mild temperatures that were approximately 12% warmer than normal during the winter heating season (November through March) and 14% warmer than normal during the peak winter heating season (December through February). These temperatures rank as the third warmest winter heating season and fifth warmest peak winter heating season in the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's 108-year history. Moreover, the weather has been significantly warmer than normal in four of the last five winter heating seasons. Despite these challenges, we paid the minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.50 on all common units on September 13, 2002, which represents the thirty-second consecutive minimum quarterly distribution paid to our common unitholders dating back to October 1994.

Due to the seasonality of the retail propane distribution business, a significant portion of our cash flow from operations is generated during the winter heating season which occurs during our second and third fiscal quarters. We generate significantly lower cash flows from operations in our first and fourth fiscal quarters as compared to the second and third quarters because fixed costs exceed gross profit during the non-peak heating season. Subject to meeting the financial tests discussed below, our general partner believes that Ferrellgas, L.P. will have sufficient funds available to meet its obligations, and to distribute to Ferrellgas Partners sufficient funds to permit Ferrellgas Partners to meet its obligations with respect to the \$170,000,000 senior notes. In addition, our general partner believes that Ferrellgas, L.P. will have sufficient funds available to distribute to Ferrellgas Partners sufficient cash to pay the required quarterly distribution on the senior units and the minimum quarterly distribution on all common units during fiscal 2003.

Our credit facilities, public debt, private debt, accounts receivable securitization facility and operating tank leases contain several financial tests and covenants restricting our ability to pay distributions, incur debt and engage in certain other business transactions. In general, these tests are based on our debt to cash flow ratio and cash flow to interest expense ratio. Our general partner believes that the most restrictive of these tests currently are debt incurrence limitations within the credit facility, operating tank leases and accounts receivable securitization facility and limitations on the payment of distributions within Ferrellgas Partners' senior notes. The credit facility, operating tank leases and accounts receivable securitization facility generally limit Ferrellgas, L.P.'s ability to incur debt if it exceeds prescribed ratios of either debt to cash flow or cash flow to interest expense. Ferrellgas Partners' senior notes restrict payments if a minimum ratio of cash flow to interest expense is not met, assuming certain exceptions to this ratio limit have previously been exhausted. This restriction places limitations on our ability to make restricted payments such as the payment of cash distributions to unitholders. The cash flow used to determine these financial tests generally is based upon our most recent cash flow performance giving pro forma effect for acquisitions and divestitures made during the test period. It should be noted that none of our credit facilities, public debt, private debt, accounts receivable securitization facility or operating tank leases contain repayment provisions related to a decline in our credit rating.

As of July 31, 2002, our general partner believes that we met all the required quarterly financial tests and covenants. Based upon current estimates of our cash flow, our general partner believes that we will be able to continue to meet all of the required quarterly financial tests and covenants. However, if we were to encounter unexpected downturns in business operations in the future, such as significantly warmer than normal weather, a volatile energy commodity cost environment or continued economic downturn, we may not meet the applicable financial tests in future quarters. This could have a materially adverse effect on our operating capacity and cash flows and could restrict our ability to incur debt or to make cash distributions to our unitholders, even if sufficient funds were available. Depending on the circumstances, we may consider alternatives to permit the incurrence of debt or the continued payment of the quarterly cash distribution to our unitholders. No assurances can be given, however, that such alternatives can or will be implemented with respect to any given quarter.

Our future capital expenditures and working capital needs are expected to be provided by cash generated from future operations, existing cash balances, the credit facility or the accounts receivable securitization facility. To fund expansive capital projects and future acquisitions, we may borrow on our facilities, we may issue additional debt to the extent permitted under existing financing arrangements or we may issue additional equity securities, including, among others. common units.

Toward this purpose, on February 5, 1999, we filed a shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the periodic sale of equity and/or debt securities. The registered securities are available to us for sale in the future to fund acquisitions, to reduce indebtedness or to provide funds for general corporate purposes. On June 8, 2001, we issued \$89,550,000 worth of equity common units and on September 24, 2002, we issued \$170,000,000 worth of debt, both pursuant to this registration statement. We currently have approximately \$40,000,000 remaining available under this registration statement for the sale of registered securities in the future. See further discussion about debt issuance in "Liquidity and Capital Resources - Financing Activities."

We also maintain a shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission for 2,010,484 common units. We may issue these common units in connection with our acquisition of other businesses, properties or securities in business combination transactions.

Operating Activities. Cash provided by operating activities was \$152,925,000 for fiscal 2002, compared to \$99,859,000 for fiscal 2001. This increase is primarily due to improved working capital principally reflected in:

o the decrease in accounts receivable, net of the change in the related funding from the accounts receivable securitization facility;

- o the decrease in inventory, primarily attributable to the decreased cost of propane and lower inventory volumes; and
- the effect of timing of payments on accounts payable.

Investing Activities. During fiscal 2002, we made cash capital expenditures of \$37,516,000 consisting primarily of the following:

- technology and process enhancement initiative discussed in the following paragraph,
- o upgrading district plant facilities,
- o vehicle lease buyouts, and
- additional propane storage tanks and cylinders.

During fiscal 2001, we completed a review of our key business processes to identify areas where we could use technology to improve our operational efficiency. Specifically, we identified areas where we believe we can reduce operating expenses and improve customer satisfaction in the near future. These areas of opportunity include improvements to our routing and scheduling of customer deliveries, customer administration and operational workflow. During fiscal 2002, we allocated considerable resources toward these improvements, including the purchase of computer hardware and software and development of new software. The capital expenditures related to the technology and process enhancement initiative were funded primarily from excess cash generated from operations during our record financial performance in fiscal year 2001. These capital expenditures represent a substantial majority of the capital expenditures we expect to incur in connection with this initiative. We intend to fund any remaining capital requirements from cash generated from future operations or funds available from our credit facility or accounts receivable securitization facility. We incurred the following expenditures related to this initiative in fiscal 2002 and 2001.

(in thousands)

(1. choosande)	Capital Expenditures		Expensed Items	
	Fiscal 2002	Fiscal 2001	Fiscal 2002	Fiscal 2001
Development of new computer software	\$25,847	\$100	\$ -	\$ -
Purchased computer software and licenses	3,947	-	-	-
Computer hardware and other equipment	276	-	-	-
Operating expense	-	-	2,032	_
General and administrative expenses	-	-	-	1,703
Total incurred	30,070	100	2,032	1,703
Less: amounts payable to vendors	6,956	-	-	-
	****	****	** ***	** ===
Total cash used for technology initiative	\$23,114	\$100	\$2,032	\$1,703
	=======	=======	=======	=======

Other than this initiative, our capital requirements for repair and maintenance of property, plant and equipment are expected to remain relatively low.

We lease computers, light and medium duty trucks, tractors and trailers. We believe vehicle leasing is a cost-effective method for meeting our transportation and technology equipment needs. We purchased \$860,000 of vehicles whose lease terms expired during fiscal 2002.

We utilize an accounts receivable securitization facility for the purpose of providing us with additional short-term working capital funding, especially during the winter heating months. As part of this 364-day facility, we transfer an interest in a pool of our trade accounts receivable to Ferrellgas Receivables, LLC, our wholly-owned, qualifying special purpose entity, which sells its interest to a commercial paper conduit of Banc One, NA. We do not provide any guarantee or similar support to the collectability of these receivables. We structured the facility using a wholly-owned, qualifying special purpose entity in order to facilitate the transaction as required by Banc One, N.A. and to comply with our various debt covenants. We remit daily to this special purpose entity funds collected on its pool of trade receivables. This unconsolidated entity, together with the accounts receivable securitization facility, provide us additional working capital liquidity at interest rates approximately one-half of one percent lower than borrowings from our credit facility, based on the most recent twelve-month period. The level of funding available from this facility is currently limited to the lesser of \$60,000,000 or qualified trade accounts receivable. At July 31, 2002, there was no outstanding balance from this facility. During fiscal 2002, the funding outstanding from this facility was reduced by \$31,000,000 to zero. This decrease in funding resulted from our reduced liquidity needs caused primarily by the significant decrease in the amount of account receivables outstanding and lower inventory levels related primarily to the lower wholesale propane cost environment experienced for most of this fiscal year as compared to last year. We renewed this facility effective September 24, 2002, for a 364-day commitment with Banc One, N.A. In accordance with SFAS No. 140, this transaction is reflected on our Consolidated Financial Statements as a sale of accounts receivable and an investment in an unconsolidated subsidiary. See

We continue to consider opportunities to expand our operations through strategic acquisitions of retail propane operations located throughout the United States. During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2002, we made total acquisition capital expenditures of approximately \$10,962,000 pursuant to the acquisition of three retail propane companies. This amount was funded by approximately \$6,294,000 of cash payments, the issuance of \$2,325,000 in common units and \$2,343,000 in notes and other consideration.

Financing Activities. On September 24, 2002, we issued \$170,000,000 of publicly-held senior notes at a fixed rate of 8.75% due 2012. Interest is payable semi-annually in arrears on June 15 and December 15, commencing on December 15, 2002. These new notes are unsecured and not redeemable before June 15, 2007, except under specific circumstances. We used the proceeds from the new senior note issuance to repurchase and redeem our \$160,000,000 9.375% fixed rate senior secured notes due 2006, including related premiums, fees, accrued and unpaid interest and tender consent payments.

We paid the required quarterly distribution on the senior units and the minimum quarterly distribution on all common units, as well as general partner interests, totaling \$84,075,000 and \$69,125,000 in fiscal 2002 and fiscal 2001, respectively. The increase in cash distributions from fiscal 2001 to fiscal 2002 is primarily due to:

- o a full year of cash distributions paid in fiscal 2002 on the 4,500,000 common units issued in June 2001; and
- o cash distributions paid on the senior units for a full year in fiscal 2002 as compared to in-kind distributions paid for two quarters in fiscal 2001. On September 13, 2002, we paid our fourth fiscal quarter cash distribution of \$1.00 and \$0.50 per senior and common unit, respectively.

On June 8, 2001, we received \$84,865,000, net of issuance costs, pursuant to the issuance of 4,500,000 common units to the public. We used these proceeds to redeem 2,048,697 senior units, to pay the related accrued senior unit distribution and to pay related common unit issuance fees.

On April 6, 2001, we announced a series of transactions that increased the cash distribution coverage to our public common unitholders and modified the structure of our outstanding senior units. In addition, we announced that an entity owned by our general partner's Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President, James E. Ferrell, purchased all the outstanding senior units from The Williams Companies for a purchase price of \$195,529,000 plus accrued and unpaid distributions. We pay the senior units a quarterly cash distribution equivalent to 10 percent per annum of the liquidating value. We can redeem the senior units at any time, in whole or in part, upon payment in cash of the liquidating value of the senior units, currently \$40 per unit, plus the amount of any accrued and unpaid distributions. The holder of the senior units has the right, subject to various events and conditions, to convert any outstanding senior units into common units at the earlier of December 31, 2005 or upon the occurrence of a material event as defined by our partnership agreement. Such conversion rights are contingent upon us not previously redeeming such securities. Also, Ferrell Companies granted us the option, until December 31, 2005, to defer future distributions on the common units held by it up to an aggregate outstanding amount of \$36,000,000. As of July 31, 2002, we have not elected to defer any common unit distributions due Ferrell Companies.

Our credit facility, which expires June 30, 2003, is an unsecured facility and consists of the following:

- a \$117,000,000 working capital, general corporate and acquisition facility, including a letter of credit sub-facility, and
- o a \$40,000,000 revolving working capital facility, which is subject to an annual reduction in outstanding balances to zero for thirty consecutive days.

We intend to renew this facility before June 30, 2003, however, there are no assurances that the new facility will be renewed or on terms at least as favorable as the existing agreement. All borrowings under the credit facility bear interest, at the borrower's option, at a rate equal to either London Interbank Offered Rate plus an applicable margin, based upon our debt to cash flow ratio, varying from 1.25 percent to 2.25 percent or the bank's base rate plus an applicable margin varying from 0.25 percent to 1.25 percent. The bank's base rates at July 31, 2002 and July 31, 2001 were 4.75% and 6.75%, respectively. See "Investing Activities" for a discussion of additional cash availability related to the accounts receivable facility agreement.

At July 31, 2002, \$40,614,000 of letters of credit were outstanding under this credit facility. Letters of credit are currently used to cover obligations primarily relating to requirements for insurance coverage and, and to a lesser extent, risk management activities. Based on the pricing grid contained in the credit facility, the current borrowing rate for future borrowings under the credit facility is either the bank's base rate plus 0.75% or LIBOR plus 1.75%. Effective July 16, 2001, the credit facility was amended to increase the letter of credit sub-facility availability from \$60,000,000 to \$80,000,000.

At July 31, 2002, we had a total of \$176,386,000 of funding available under two facilities:

- o \$116,386,000 available for general corporate, acquisition and working capital purposes under the credit facility, and
- o \$60,000,000 of funding available from the accounts receivable securitization facility.

We believe that the liquidity available from these facilities will be sufficient to meet our future working capital needs. However, if we were to experience an unexpected significant increase in working capital requirements, this need could exceed our immediately available resources. Events that could cause increases in working capital borrowings or letter of credit requirements include, but are not limited to the following:

- a significant increase in the cost of propane,
- o a significant delay in the collections of accounts receivable,
- o increased volatility in energy commodity prices related to risk management activities.
- o increased liquidity requirements imposed by insurance providers,
- o a significant downgrade in our credit rating, or
- o decreased trade credit.

If one or more of these events caused a significant use of available funding, we would consider alternatives to provide increased working capital funding. No assurances can be given, however, that such alternatives could be implemented.

In December 1999, we entered into a \$25,000,000 operating lease involving a portion of our customer tanks. Also in December 1999, we assumed a \$135,000,000 operating lease involving a portion of the Thermogas acquisition related customer tanks. Both arrangements utilize a structure referred to as a synthetic operating lease, using a special purpose entity as lessor and Ferrellgas, L.P. as lessee; thus, the assets and liabilities of the special purpose entities are not included in our Consolidated Balance Sheet. We made \$8,819,000 of rent payments related to these leases for the most recent twelve-month period. Both arrangements have terms that expire June 30, 2003, and may be extended for two additional one-year periods at the option of Ferrellgas, L.P., if such extension is approved by the lessor. Prior to the end of the lease terms, we intend to secure additional financing in order to either lease or purchase the related customer tanks. No assurances can be given that such financing will be obtained or, if obtained, such financing will be on terms equally favorable to us. See further discussion about these lease arrangements in "Investing Activities."

The following table summarizes our long-term debt obligations as of July $31,\ 2002$:

(in thousands) Pr			l Payments due	by Pay Period	
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4-5 years	After 5 years
Long-term debt, including current portion of long-term debt	\$706,177	\$2,319	\$4,433	\$330,352	\$369,073

The following table summarizes our long-term debt obligations as of July 31, 2002, and after the September 24, 2002 issuance of the \$170,000,000 fixed rate senior notes and related repurchase and redemption of the \$160,000,000 fixed rate senior secured notes:

(in thousands)	Principal Payments due by Pay Period					
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4-5 years	After 5 years	
Long-term debt, including current portion of long-term debt	\$716,177	\$2,319	\$4,433	\$170,352	\$539,073	

In addition, we lease property, computer equipment, light and medium duty trucks, tractors and trailers. We account for these arrangements as operating leases. See further discussion about these leases in "Investing Activities." The following tables summarize our future minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable operating lease agreements as of July 31, 2002. The summary presents the future minimum rental payments and, should we elect to do so, the buyout amounts necessary to purchase the equipment at the end of the lease terms.

(in thousands)		Future Minimu	m Rental and	Buyout Amounts	
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4-5 years	After 5 years
Operating leases rental payments Operating leases buyouts	\$68,575 \$178,658	\$26,986 \$158,577	\$23,701 \$8,843	\$13,248 \$9,020	\$4,640 \$2,218

Historically, we have been successful in renewing some of our leases subject to buyouts. However, there is no assurance that we will be successful in the future. The large buyout amount in fiscal 2003 primarily relates to the previously discussed operating tank leases. These two leases have terms that expire June 30, 2003, and may be extended for two additional one-year periods at the option of Ferrellgas, L.P., if such extension is approved by the lessor. We intend to secure additional financing in order to either lease or purchase the related tanks. See Note L to the Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information regarding these leases.

At July 31, 2002, we had no borrowings outstanding on our credit facility. We had letters of credit outstanding in the amount of \$40,614,000 used primarily to cover obligations relating to requirements for insurance coverage. At July 31, 2002, we did not have any funding from our accounts receivable securitization facility. As of July 31, 2002, in addition to the inventory on hand, we had committed to make delivery of approximately 7,061,000 gallons at a fixed price.

Disclosures about Risk Management Activities Accounted for at Fair Value

The following table summarizes the change in the unrealized fair value of contracts from risk management trading activities for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2002. This table summarizes the contracts where settlement has not yet occurred:

(in thousands)

Unrealized (losses) in fair value of contracts outstanding at July 31, 2001

Unerealized gains and (losses) recognized

Less: realized gains and (losses) recognized

Unrealized (losses) in fair value of contracts outstanding at July 31, 2002

Unrealized (losses) in fair value of contracts outstanding at July 31, 2002

\$ (4,569)

The following table summarizes the maturity of these contracts for the valuation methodologies we utilize as of July 31, 2002. This table summarizes the contracts from risk management trading activities where settlement has not yet occurred:

(in thousands)	Fair Value of Contracts at Period-End			
Source of Fair Value	Maturity less than 1 year	Maturity greater than 1 year and less than 18 months		
Prices actively quoted Prices provided by other external sources Prices based on models and other valuation methods	\$ (328) (4,225)	\$ - (16)		
Unrealized (losses) in fair value of contracts outstanding at July 31, 2002	\$(4,553)	\$(16) ========		

See additional discussion about market, counterparty credit and liquidity risks related to the our risk management trading activities and other risk management activities in "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk."

Disclosures about Effects of Transactions with Related Parties

We have no employees and are managed and controlled by our general partner. Pursuant to our partnership agreement, our general partner is entitled to reimbursement for all direct and indirect expenses incurred or payments it makes on our behalf, and all other necessary or appropriate expenses allocable to us or otherwise reasonably incurred by our general partner in connection with operating our business. These costs, which totaled \$197,863,000 for the year ended July 31, 2002, include compensation and benefits paid to officers and employees of our general partner who perform services on our behalf and general and administrative costs.

On December 12, 2001, we issued 37,487 common units to Ferrell Propane, Inc., a subsidiary of our general partner in connection with our acquisition of Blue Flame Bottle Gas (see Note P to the Consolidated Financial Statements.) The common unit issuance compensated Ferrell Propane for its retention of \$725,000 of certain tax liabilities of Blue Flame.

During fiscal 2002, we paid JEF Capital Management \$776,445 to redeem a total of 19,411 senior units and \$11,192,000 in senior unit distributions. In a noncash transaction, we accrued a senior unit distribution of \$2,782,211 that we paid to JEF Capital Management on September 13, 2002.

Ferrell International Limited and FI Trading, Inc. are beneficially owned by James E. Ferrell and thus are our affiliates. We enter into transactions with Ferrell International Limited and FI Trading in connection with our risk management activities and do so at market prices in accordance with our affiliate trading policy approved by our general partner's Board of Directors. These transactions include forward, option and swap contracts and are all reviewed for compliance with the policy. During fiscal 2002, we recognized net receipts from purchases, sales and commodity derivative transactions of \$10,692,000. These net purchases, sales and commodity derivative transactions with Ferrell International Limited and FI Trading, Inc. are classified as cost of product sold. Amounts due from (to) Ferrell International Limited at July 31, 2002 were \$396,000 and \$(266,000), respectively.

We believe these related party transactions were under terms that were no less favorable to us than those available with third parties.

See both Item 13 "Certain relationships and related transactions" and Note K to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion.

Adoption of New Accounting Standards

The Financial Accounting Standards Board recently issued SFAS No. 141 "Business Combinations", SFAS No. 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets", SFAS No. 143 "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations", SFAS No. 144 "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets", SFAS No. 145 "Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44, and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections", and SFAS No. 146 "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities."

SFAS No. 141 requirements include, among other things, that all business combinations be accounted for by a single method - the purchase method. It applies to all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001. We have historically accounted for business combinations using the purchase method, therefore, this new standard will not have a substantial impact on how we account for future business combinations.

SFAS No. 142 modifies the financial accounting and reporting for acquired goodwill and other intangible assets, including the requirement that goodwill and some intangible assets no longer be amortized. Also some intangibles were reclassified to goodwill. We adopted SFAS No. 142 beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2002. Although there was no cash flow effect, our amortization expense decreased by \$10,600,000 in fiscal 2002, compared to the amortization that would have been recorded had the new accounting standard not been issued. This new standard also required us to test goodwill for impairment at the time the standard was adopted and also on an annual basis. The results of these impairment tests did not have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. We did not recognize any impairment losses as a result of these tests.

SFAS No. 143 requires the recognition of a liability if a company has a legal or contractual financial obligation in connection with the retirement of a tangible long-lived asset. We will implement SFAS No. 143 beginning in the fiscal year ending July 31, 2003, and expect to record a one-time reduction to earnings during the first quarter of fiscal 2003, as a cumulative change in accounting principle, of approximately \$2,800,000. This charge relates to the estimated expenditures that will be incurred by us in the future primarily to close our underground storage facilities. We believe the implementation will not have a material ongoing effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

SFAS No. 144 modifies the financial accounting and reporting for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale and it broadens the presentation of discontinued operations to include more disposal transactions. We will implement SFAS No. 144 beginning in the fiscal year ending July 31, 2003, and believe the implementation will not have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

SFAS No. 145 eliminates the requirement that material gains and losses resulting from the early extinguishment of debt be classified as an extraordinary item in the results of operations. Instead, companies must evaluate whether the transaction meets both the criteria of being unusual in nature and infrequent in occurrence. Other aspects of SFAS No. 145 relating to accounting for intangible assets of motor carriers and accounting for lease modifications do not currently apply to us. We will implement SFAS No. 145 beginning in the fiscal year ending July 31, 2003, and believe the implementation will not have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

SFAS No. 146 modifies the financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities. This standard requires that a liability for a cost associated with an exit or disposal activity be recognized when the liability is incurred. Additionally, the statement requires the liability to be recognized and measured initially at fair value. Under previous rules, a liability for an exit cost was recognized at the date of the entity's commitment to an exit plan. We adopted and implemented SFAS No. 146 for any exit or disposal activities that are initiated after July 31, 2002. We believe the implementation will not have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

New Federal Legislation

The Public Company Accounting Reform and Investor Protection Act of 2002 was enacted by the United States Congress on July 30, 2002. This Act covers a wide variety of issues and its provisions will become effective at different times generally when implementing regulations become effective, at 30, 60, 180 or 360 days after enactment depending on the specific provision. It is important to note, however, that a number of the Act's provisions became effective on July 30, 2002.

Highlights of this legislation as it applies to us include:

- o certification of the periodic reports by the chief executive officer and chief financial officer;
- o restrictions on insider trading of our partnership units and quicker reporting of insider trades in our partnership units;
- o prohibition of company loans to executives;
- o future periodic reports will contain an internal control assessment by management and the independent public accountants will attest to this assessment;
- o adoption of a code of ethics for senior financial officers;
- o the audit committee will establish procedures to handle complaints about accounting matters, including the confidential submission by employees;
- o independent public accountants are prohibited from providing certain non-audit related activities to audit clients;
- o all audit and non-audit services provided to the company by its independent public accountant will be pre-approved by the audit committee; and
- o increased communication between the audit committee and the independent public accountants.

There are many other aspects of this Act which will not directly apply to our company and other aspects which will have only a minor effect. We will continue to review this Act and forthcoming regulations as they are published.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles requires us to establish accounting policies and make estimates and assumptions that affect our reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the Consolidated Financial Statements. We evaluate our policies and estimates on an on-going basis. Our Consolidated Financial Statements may differ based upon different estimates and assumptions.

We discuss our significant accounting policies in Note B to the Consolidated Financial Statements. We believe the following are our critical accounting policies:

Depreciation of Property, Plant and Equipment

We calculate depreciation using the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the assets ranging from two to 30 years. Changes in the estimated useful lives of our assets could have a material effect on results of operations.

Amortization of Intangible Assets

We calculate amortization using either straight-line or accelerated methods over periods ranging from two to 15 years. We use amortization methods and determine asset values based on our best estimates using reasonable and supportable assumptions and projections. Changes in the amortization methods or asset values could have a material effect on results of operations.

Fair Value of Derivative Commodity Contracts

We enter into commodity forward, futures, swaps and options contracts involving propane and related products, which, in accordance with SFAS No. 133 "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," are not accounting hedges, but are used for risk management trading purposes. To the extent such contracts are entered into at fixed prices and thereby subject us to market risk, the contracts are accounted for using the fair value method. Under this valuation method, derivatives are carried in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value with changes in value recognized in earnings. We classify all gains and losses from these derivative contracts entered into for risk management trading purposes as cost of product sold in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings. We utilize published settlement prices for exchange-traded contracts, quotes provided by brokers and estimates of market prices based on daily contract activity to estimate the fair value of these contracts. Changes in the methods used to determine the fair value of these contracts could have a material effect on results of operations. For further discussion of derivative commodity contracts, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Results of Operations", "Liquidity and Capital Resources - Disclosures about Risk Management Activities Accounted for at Fair Value" and "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk" and Note J to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

The market risk inherent in our market risk sensitive instruments and positions is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in commodity prices. Our risk management trading activities utilize various types of energy commodity forward contracts, options, swaps traded on the over-the-counter financial markets and futures and options traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange to manage and hedge our exposure to the volatility of floating commodity prices and to protect our inventory positions. We include the results from our risk management trading activities in our discussion and analysis of cost of product sold. Our other risk management activities, specifically our supply procurement activities, also utilize over-the-counter energy commodity forward contracts to limit overall price risk and options to hedge our exposure to inventory price movements. We include the results from these other risk management activities in cost of product sold and in our discussion and analysis of retail margin per gallon.

Market risks associated with energy commodities are monitored daily by senior management for compliance with our risk management policies. These policies include specific dollar exposure limits, limits on the term of various contracts and volume limits for various energy commodities. We also utilize loss limits and review our positions daily where we remain exposed to market risk, so as to manage exposures to changing market prices.

Market, Credit and Liquidity Risk. New York Mercantile Exchange traded futures are guaranteed by the New York Mercantile Exchange and have nominal credit risk. We are exposed to credit risk associated with forwards, swaps and option transactions in the event of nonperformance by counterparties. For each counterparty, we analyze its financial condition prior to entering into an agreement, establish credit limits and monitor the appropriateness of each limit. The change in market value of Exchange-traded futures contracts requires daily cash settlement in margin accounts with brokers. Forwards and most other over-the-counter instruments are generally settled at the expiration of the contract term. In order to minimize the liquidity risk of cash, margin or collateral requirements of counterparties for over-the-counter instruments, we attempt to balance maturities and positions with individual counterparties. Historically, our risk management activities have not experienced significant credit related losses in any year or with any individual counterparty. Our risk management contracts do not contain material repayment provisions related to a decline in our credit rating.

Sensitivity Analysis. We have prepared a sensitivity analysis to estimate the exposure to market risk of our energy commodity positions. Forward contracts, futures, swaps and options used in our risk management trading activities were analyzed assuming a hypothetical 10% adverse change in prices for the delivery month for all energy commodities. The potential loss in future earnings regarding these positions from a 10% adverse movement in market prices of the underlying energy commodities is estimated at \$1,100,000 and \$8,000,000 for risk management trading activities and \$80,000 and \$1,400,000 for other risk management activities as of July 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The preceding hypothetical analysis is limited because changes in prices may or may not equal 10%, thus actual results may differ.

Additionally, we seek to mitigate our variable rate interest rate risk exposure on operating leases by entering into interest rate cap agreements. At July 31, 2002 and 2001, we had \$156,000,000 and \$157,600,000 outstanding, respectively, in variable rate operating leases and an equal amount of interest rate cap agreements outstanding to hedge the related variable rate exposure. Thus, assuming a one percent increase in our variable interest rate, the interest rate risk related to the operating leases and the associated interest rate cap agreements would be a loss in future earnings of \$1,553,000 and \$1,569,000 in fiscal 2002 and 2001, respectively.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

Our Consolidated Financial Statements and the Independent Auditors' Reports thereon and the Supplementary Financial Information listed on the accompanying Index to Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules are hereby incorporated by reference. See Note R to the Consolidated Financial Statements for Selected Quarterly Financial Data.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

None.

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANTS.

Our Management

Ferrellgas, Inc. manages and operates our activities and anticipates that its activities will be limited to that management and operation. We do not directly employ any of the persons responsible for our management or operations, rather, these individuals are employed by Ferrellgas, Inc. Unitholders do not directly or indirectly participate in our management or operations.

Audit Committee

Ferrellgas, Inc. has appointed persons who are neither officers nor employees of Ferrellgas, Inc. or its affiliates to serve on its audit committee. The audit committee includes one member who is a financial expert. Michael F. Morrissey is the retired Managing Partner of Ernst & Young's Kansas City, Missouri office. At the request of Ferrellgas, Inc., the audit committee has the authority to review specific matters, which Ferrellgas, Inc. believes may be a conflict of interest with us. The audit committee determines if the resolution of that conflict as proposed by Ferrellgas, Inc. is fair and reasonable to us. In addition, the audit committee has the authority and responsibility for selecting our independent public accountants, reviewing our annual audit and resolving accounting policy questions. Any matters approved by the audit committee are conclusively deemed to be fair and reasonable to us, approved by all our unitholders and not a breach by Ferrellgas, Inc. of any duties it may owe us or our unitholders.

Directors and Executive Officers of the General Partner

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the directors and executive officers of Ferrellgas, Inc. as of September 30, 2002. Each of the persons named below is elected to their respective office or offices annually.

Name	Age	Director Since	Position
James E. Ferrell	63	1984	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, President and a Director of Ferrellgas, Inc.
Patrick J. Chesterman	52	n/a	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
Kevin T. Kelly	37	n/a	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Kenneth A. Heinz	38	n/a	Vice President of Corporate Development
A. Andrew Levison	46	1994	Director of Ferrellgas, Inc.
Elizabeth T. Solberg	63	1998	Director of Ferrellgas, Inc.
Michael F. Morrissey	60	1999	Director of Ferrellgas, Inc.

James E. Ferrell--Mr. Ferrell has been with Ferrell Companies or its predecessors and its affiliates in various executive capacities since 1965, including Chairman of the Board of Ferrellgas, Inc. He was named Chief Executive Officer and President of Ferrellgas, Inc. on October 5, 2000. He previously served as Ferrellgas, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer until August 1998 and as President until October 1996.

Patrick J. Chesterman--Mr. Chesterman was named Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Ferrellgas, Inc. in June 2000. He had been Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Ferrell North America since April 1998 after having served as Senior Vice President, Supply since September 1997. After joining Ferrellgas, Inc. in June, 1994, he had one-year assignments as Vice President - Retail Operations, Director of Field Support and Director of Human Resources.

Kevin T. Kelly--Mr. Kelly was named Senior Vice President in October 2000 and Chief Financial Officer in May 1998. After joining Ferrellgas, Inc. in June 1996, he served as Director of Finance and Corporate Controller until May 1998.

Kenneth A. Heinz--Mr. Heinz was named Vice President of Corporate Development in November 2001. After joining Ferrellgas, Inc. in November, 1996, he served as Manager of Taxation, Director of Finance and Taxation, and Vice President of Finance and Corporate Development.

A. Andrew Levison--Mr. Levison was elected a Director of Ferrellgas, Inc. in September 1994. He is also a member of the Audit Committee. Mr. Levison retired in 2000 after having been a Managing Director of Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette Securities Corporation since 1989.

Elizabeth T. Solberg--Ms. Solberg was elected a Director of Ferrellgas, Inc. in July 1998. She is also a member of the Audit Committee. Ms. Solberg is Regional President and Senior Partner of Fleishman-Hillard, Inc. and has been with the firm since 1976. She has been a member of the Board of Directors of Kansas City Life Insurance Company since 1997 and Midwest Express Holdings since 2001.

Michael F. Morrissey--Mr. Morrissey was elected a Director of Ferrellgas, Inc. in November 1999. He is also Chairman of the Audit Committee. Mr. Morrissey retired as the Managing Partner of Ernst & Young's Kansas City office in the fall of 1999. He had been with that firm, or its predecessor, since 1975.

Compensation of the General Partner

Our general partner receives no management fee or similar compensation in connection with its management of our business and receives no remuneration other than:

- o distributions on its combined 2% general partner interest in us, and
- o reimbursement for all direct and indirect costs and expenses incurred on our behalf, all selling, general and administrative expenses incurred by our general partner on our behalf and all other expenses necessary or appropriate to the conduct of our business and allocable to us. The selling, general and administrative expenses reimbursed include specific employee benefits and incentive plans for the benefit of the executive officers and employees of our general partner.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act

Section 16(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 requires Ferrellgas, Inc.'s officers and directors, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities, to file reports of beneficial ownership and changes in beneficial ownership with the Commission. Officers, directors and unitholders with greater than 10% ownership are required by the Commission's regulation to furnish Ferrellgas, Inc. with copies of all Section 16(a) forms.

Based solely on its review of the copies of such forms received by Ferrellgas, Inc., or written representations from certain reporting persons that no Annual Statement of Beneficial Ownership of Securities on Form 5 were required for those persons, Ferrellgas, Inc. believes that during fiscal year 2002 all filing requirements applicable to its officers, directors, or beneficial owners with greater than 10% ownership were met in a timely manner.

ITEM 11. Executive Compensation.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth the compensation for the past three fiscal years of Ferrellgas, Inc.'s chief executive officer and the three most highly compensated executive officers other than the chief executive officer, who were serving as executive officers at the end of the 2002 fiscal year.

					Long-Term Com	pensation	
		Annual Comp	pensation		Awards	Pay-outs	
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (1) (\$)	Other Annual Compen- sation \$(2)	Securities Underlying Options (#) (3)	Long-Term Incentive Payouts (\$)	All Other Compen- sation (\$)
James E. Ferrell (4) Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President	2002 2001	500,000 431,075	500,000 1,000,000		1,050,000		14,674 (6) 9,682
Patrick J. Chesterman Executive Vice President, And Chief Operating Officer	2002 2001 2000	322,000 285,900 212,646	300,000 425,000 202,125	3,403 2,134 1,780	90,000 50,000		17,227 (6) 8,714 13,701
Kevin T. Kelly Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2002 2001 2000	221,000 180,000 160,319	200,000 208,000 75,000	3,403 2,104 1,686	120,000 75,000		9,231 (6) 9,619 8,184
Kenneth A. Heinz (5) Vice President of Corporate Development	2002	171,800	125,000	3,403			6,936 (6)

- Awards under bonus plans are for the year reported, regardless of the year paid.
- (2) All amounts represent the value of shares contributed to each individual's Employee Stock Ownership Plan account.
- (3) The awards are grants of unit options from the Ferrellgas, Inc. Unit Option Plan and stock options from the Incentive Compensation Plan, a stock option plan of Ferrell Companies (see below for unit option and stock option grant tables).
- (4) On October 5, 2000, James E. Ferrell was named the Chief Executive Officer, President and Director of Ferrellgas, Inc. and affiliates.
- (5) Kenneth A. Heinz was named Vice President of Corporate Development in November 2001.
- (6) Includes for Mr. Ferrell contributions of \$14,674 to the employee's 401(k) and profit sharing plans. Includes for Mr. Chesterman contributions of \$16,482 to the profit sharing plans and compensation of \$745 resulting from the payment of life insurance premiums. Includes for Mr. Kelly contributions of \$9,231 to the employee's 401(k) and profit sharing plans. Includes for Mr. Heinz contributions of \$6,619 to the employee's 401(k) and profit sharing plans and compensation of \$317 resulting from the payment of life insurance premiums.

Ferrellgas, Inc. and James M. Hake, the former Senior Vice President, Administration of Ferrellgas, Inc. and affiliates, negotiated a termination of his employment effective November 30, 2001. As part of this mutual agreement, Mr. Hake was paid an amount equal to two times his then current salary plus \$100,000.

Unit Options

The Second Amended and Restated Ferrellgas Unit Option Plan grants employees unit options to purchase our common units. The original Unit Option Plan was adopted in and became effective August 1994 and was most recently amended effective April 2001. The purpose of the Unit Option Plan is to encourage certain employees of Ferrellgas, Inc. to develop a proprietary interest in our growth and performance, to generate an increased incentive to contribute to our future success and prosperity, thus enhancing our value for the benefit of our Unitholders, and to enhance the ability of Ferrellgas, Inc. to attract and retain key individuals who are essential to our progress, growth and profitability.

As of July 31, 2002, we had outstanding 1,075,400 unit options, with a weighted average exercise price of \$18.15 per option, to purchase our common units. The options generally vest over a five-year period, and expire on the tenth anniversary of the date of the grant. As of July 31, 2002, 594,725 of the unit options outstanding were exercisable.

There were no grants of unit options during the 2002 fiscal year.

The following table lists information on the CEO and named executive officers' exercisable/unexercisable unit options as of July 31, 2002.

AGGREGATED UNIT OPTION IN LAST FISCAL YEAR AND FISCAL YEAR-END OPTION VALUES

			Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options at Fiscal Year End (#)	Value of Unexercised In-The-Money Options at Fiscal Year End (\$)
Name	Units Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized (\$)	Exercisable/ Unexercisable	Exercisable/ Unexercisable
James E. Ferrell Patrick J. Chesterman Kevin T. Kelly	0 0 0	0 0 0	60,000/240,000 48,000/72,000 29,000/76,000	60,000/240,000 24,300/72,000 19,000/76,000
Kenneth A. Heinz	0	0	19,200/60,800	15,200/60,800

Employee Stock Ownership Plan

On July 17, 1998, pursuant to the Ferrell Companies, Inc. Employee Stock Ownership Plan, an employee stock ownership trust purchased all of the outstanding common stock of Ferrell Companies. The purpose of the Employee Stock Ownership Plan is to provide employees of Ferrellgas, Inc. an opportunity for ownership in Ferrell Companies, and indirectly, us. Ferrell Companies makes contributions to the Employee Stock Ownership Plan which allows a portion of the shares of Ferrell Companies owned by the Employee Stock Ownership Plan to be allocated to employees' accounts over time.

Incentive Compensation Plan

Also on July 17, 1998, the Ferrell Companies, Inc. 1998 Incentive Compensation Plan was established by Ferrell Companies, Inc. to allow upper-middle and senior level managers of Ferrellgas, Inc. to participate in the equity growth of Ferrell Companies. Pursuant to this Incentive Compensation Plan, eligible participants may be granted stock options to purchase shares of common stock of Ferrell Companies. The shares underlying the stock options are common shares of Ferrell Companies.

There were no grants of Incentive Compensation Plan options to named officers during the 2002 fiscal year.

The Ferrell Companies stock options vest ratably in 5% to 10% increments over 12 years or 100% upon a change of control of Ferrell Companies, or the death, disability or retirement at the age of 65 of the participant. Vested options are exercisable in increments based on the timing of the payoff of Ferrell Companies debt, but in no event later than 20 years from the date of issuance.

The following table lists information on the CEO and named executive officers' exercisable/unexercisable stock options as of July 31, 2002.

AGGREGATED OPTION EXERCISES IN LAST FISCAL YEAR AND FISCAL YEAR-END OPTION VALUES

Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options At Fiscal Year End (#) Value of Unexercised In-The-Money Options at Fiscal Year End (\$)

	Shares Acquired on	Value Realized	Exercisable/	Exercisable/
Name	Exercise (#)	(\$)	Unexercisable	Unexercisable
James E. Ferrell	0	Θ	0/750,000	0/2,242,500
Patrick J. Chesterman	Θ	0	0/250,000	0/949,000
Kevin T. Kelly	0	0	0/250,000	0/985,000
Kenneth A. Heinz	0	0	0/220,000	0/823,000

Profit Sharing Plan

The Ferrell Companies, Inc. Profit Sharing and 401(k) Investment Plan is a qualified defined contribution plan, which includes both profit sharing and matching contributions. All full-time employees of Ferrell Companies or any of its direct or indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries with at least one year of service are eligible to participate in the profit sharing plan. With the establishment of the employee stock ownership plan in July 1998, we suspended future profit sharing contributions to the plan beginning with fiscal year 1998. The plan also has a 401(k) feature allowing all full-time employees to specify a portion of their pre-tax and/or after-tax compensation to be contributed to the plan. The plan also provides for matching contributions under a cash or deferred arrangement based upon participant salaries and employee contributions to the plan. Unlike the profit sharing contributions, these matching contributions were not eliminated with the establishment of the ESOP.

Supplemental Savings Plan

The Ferrell Supplemental Savings Plan was established October 1, 1994 in order to provide certain management or highly compensated employees with supplemental retirement income which is approximately equal in amount to the retirement income that would have been provided to members of the select group of employees under the terms of the 401(k) feature of the profit sharing plan based on such members' deferral elections thereunder, but which could not be provided under the 401(k) feature of the profit sharing plan due to the application of certain IRS rules and regulations.

Employment Agreements

In April 2001, the independent board of directors modified the amount of compensation paid to Mr. James E. Ferrell as Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of Ferrellgas, Inc. pursuant to Mr. Ferrell's existing employment agreement dated July 17, 1998. Effective September 1, 2002, Mr. Ferrell's annual salary was increased to \$635,000. He is also entitled to an annual bonus, the amount to be determined in the sole discretion of the independent board members. In addition to his compensation, Mr. Ferrell participates in our various employee benefit plans, with the exception of the employee stock ownership plan.

Pursuant to the terms of Mr. Ferrell's employment agreement, in the event of a termination without cause, resignation for cause or a change of control of Ferrell Companies or Ferrellgas, Inc., Mr. Ferrell is entitled to a cash amount equal to three times the greater of 125% of his current base salary or the average compensation paid for the prior three fiscal years.

Mr. Ferrell's agreement contains a non-compete provision for the period of time, following his termination of employment, equal to the greater of five years or the time in which certain outstanding debt of Ferrell Companies is paid in full. The non-compete provision provides that he shall not directly or indirectly own, manage, control, or engage in any business with any person whose business is substantially similar to our business.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2001, Patrick J. Chesterman and Kevin T. Kelly each entered into three-year employment agreements. The employment agreements state that Messrs. Chesterman and Kelly will receive an annual salary of not less than \$285,000 and \$180,000, respectively. In addition to receiving an annual salary, each are entitled to a bonus based on our earnings and individual performance.

Pursuant to the terms of each employment agreement, in the event of a termination without cause or resignation for cause, Messrs. Chesterman and Kelly are entitled to a cash amount equal to two times their current base salary. If a change of control of Ferrell Companies or Ferrellgas, Inc. occurs, each will receive a cash termination benefit equal to two and a half times the greater of 125% of his current base salary or the average compensation paid for the prior three fiscal years.

Messrs. Chesterman and Kelly's agreements contain non-compete provisions for a period of two years following their termination of employment. The non-compete provisions provide that they shall not directly or indirectly own, manage, control, or engage in any business with any person whose business is substantially similar to our business.

Compensation of Directors

Ferrellgas, Inc. does not pay any additional remuneration to its employees for serving as directors. Directors who are not employees of Ferrellgas, Inc. receive an annual retainer of \$16,000. They also receive a fee per meeting of \$1,000 if they attend in person and \$500 if they participate by telephone, plus reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

The following table sets forth certain information as of September 30, 2002, regarding the beneficial ownership of our common units by beneficial owners that are directors and named executive officers of Ferrellgas, Inc., and all directors and executive officers of Ferrellgas, Inc. as a group. Ferrellgas, Inc. knows of no other person beneficially owning more than 5% of the common units. The senior units currently are not voting securities of the Partnership and therefore are not presented in the table below.

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Units Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Class
Common Units	Employee Stock Ownership Trust	17,855,087	49.5
	James E. Ferrell	75,000	*
	Patrick J. Chesterman	48,200	*
	Kenneth A. Heinz	19,500	*
	Kevin T. Kelly	29,700	*
	Elizabeth T. Solberg	8,200	*
	A. Andrew Levison	35,300	*
	Michael F. Morrissey	, 775	*
	All Directors and Executive Officers as a Group	216,675	*

Unito

Less than one percent

Beneficial ownership for the purposes of the foregoing table is defined by Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under that rule, a person Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under that rule, a person is generally considered to be the beneficial owner of a security if he has or shares the power to vote or direct the voting thereof or to dispose or direct the disposition thereof or has the right to acquire either of those powers within 60 days. See the Aggregated Unit Option Exercises In Last Fiscal Year And Fiscal Year End Option Values table above for the number of common units that could be acquired by named executive officers through exercising common unit options.

The address for LaSalle National Bank, the trustee for the Ferrell Companies, Inc. Employee Stock Ownership Trust is 125 S. LaSalle Street, 17th Floor, Chicago, Illinois, 60603. The common units owned by the Employee Stock Ownership Trust includes 17,803,883 Common Units owned by Ferrell Companies which is 100% owned by the Employee Stock Ownership Trust and 51,204 common units owned by Ferrell Propane, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Ferrellgas,

Equity Plan Compensation Information

The table below provides information about our Second Amended and Restated Ferrellgas Unit Option Plan as of July 31, 2002. The plan is our only equity compensation plan that grants equity of Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. to its participants. In addition to the information set forth below, see Note N to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about the plan.

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in the first column)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	-	-	-
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	1,075,400	\$18.15	274,600 (1)
Total	1,075,400	\$18.15 ========	274,600

(1) This number may be increased upon the occurrence of particular events. See narrative below.

The Second Amended and Restated Ferrellgas Unit Option Plan was initially adopted by the Board of Directors of our general partner and became effective in August 1994 and was subsequently amended effective March 1995 and April 2001. The plan is intended to meet the requirements of the New York Stock Exchange equity holder approval policy for option plans not approved by the equity holders of a company, and thus approval of the plan by our common unitholders was not required.

The purpose of the plan is to encourage selected employees of Ferrellgas, Inc. to:

- o develop a proprietary interest in our growth and performance;
- o generate an increased incentive to contribute to our future success and prosperity, thus enhancing our value for the benefit of our common unitholders; and
- enhance our ability to attract and retain key individuals who are essential to our progress, growth and profitability, by giving these individuals the opportunity to acquire our common units.

The plan is to be administered either by an option committee of the Board of Directors of our general partner that is composed of not less than two directors who are "non-employee directors" within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 of the Exchange Act or by the Board of Directors itself. The Board of Directors, which currently has three "non-employee directors," has not yet designated such an option committee and therefore currently administers the plan. The Board of Directors has however designated an employee committee to recommend to it at various times throughout the year the number of unit options to be granted and to whom such unit options should be granted. The Board of Directors then votes upon such recommendations.

Subject to the terms of the plan and applicable law, the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

- o designate the employees who are to be participants in the plan;
- o determine the number of unit options to be granted to an employee;
- o determine the terms and conditions of any unit option:
- o interpret, construe and administer the plan and any instrument or agreement relating to a unit option granted under the plan;
- establish, amend, suspend, or waive such rules and regulations and appoint such agents as it deems appropriate for the proper administration of the plan:
- o make a determination as to the right of any person to receive payment of (or with respect to) a unit option; and
- o make any other determinations and take any other actions that the administrator deems necessary or desirable for the administration of the plan.

Generally, all of the directors, officers, and other employees of Ferrellgas, Inc., or an affiliate of Ferrellgas, Inc., are eligible for participation in the plan. Grants to a member of the Board of Directors or the option committee are permitted provided that the grantee recuses themselves from the vote relating to such unit option grant. Grants may be made to the same employee on more than one occasion and the terms and provisions of grants to the same employee or to different employees need not be the same. The plan allows for the granting of only non-qualified unit options and in no event shall the term of any unit option exceed a period of ten years from the date of its grant. Unit options, to the extent vested as of the date the holder thereof ceases to be an employee of Ferrellgas, Inc. or one of its affiliates, will remain the property of the holder until the unit options are exercised or expire. Unit options, to the extent not vested as of the date the holder ceases to be an employee, are automatically canceled. Unit options or rights thereunder are not assignable, alienable, saleable or transferable by a holder otherwise than by will or by the laws of descent and distribution. It is intended that the plan and any unit option granted to a person subject to Section 16 of the Exchange Act meet all of the requirements of Rule 16b-3 of the Exchange Act.

To comply with the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, no single officer or director may acquire under the plan more than 314,895 common units. In addition, all common units available for issuance under this plan, together with any common units available for issuance under any other employee benefit plan, of which there are currently none, shall not exceed 1,574,475 common units.

Although the number of unit options currently available for issuance under the plan is limited to 1,350,000, under particular circumstances that would result in a significant dilution of the rights of the participants in the plan, the administrator of the plan may make appropriate adjustments in the maximum number of common units issuable under the plan to reflect the effect of such circumstance and may make appropriate adjustments to the number of common units subject to, and/or the exercise price of, each outstanding unit option.

The administrator has the discretion to cancel all or part of any outstanding unit options at any time. Upon any such cancellation we will pay to the holder with respect to each cancelled unit option an amount in cash equal to the excess, if any, of (i) the fair market value of a common unit, at the effective date of such cancellation, over (ii) the unit option exercise price. In addition, the administrator has the right to alter or amend the plan or any part thereof from time to time; provided, however, that no change in any unit option already granted may be made which would impair the rights of the holder thereof without the consent of the holder. The administrator may also in its discretion terminate the plan at any time with respect to any common units for which a unit option has not yet been granted. There is currently no fixed termination date for the plan. If a plan for our complete dissolution is adopted or our unitholders approve an agreement for our sale or disposition of all or substantially all of our assets, then upon such adoption or approval all or a portion, in the sole discretion of the administrator, of a holder's unit options outstanding as of the date of that adoption or approval shall be immediately and fully vested and exercisable and may be exercised within one year from the date of that adoption or approval.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS.

Set forth below is a discussion of certain relationships and related transactions among our affiliates.

We have no employees and are managed and controlled by Ferrellgas, Inc. Pursuant to our partnership agreement, Ferrellgas, Inc. is reimbursed for all direct and indirect expenses incurred or payments made on our behalf, and all other necessary or appropriate expenses allocable to us or otherwise reasonably incurred by Ferrellgas, Inc. in connection with operating our business. These costs, which totaled \$197,863,000 for the year ended July 31, 2002, include compensation and benefits paid to officers and employees of Ferrellgas, Inc. who perform services on our behalf and related general and administrative costs. In addition, the conveyance of the net assets of Ferrellgas, Inc. to us upon our formation included the assumption of specific liabilities related to employee benefit and incentive plans for the benefit of the officers and employees of Ferrellgas, Inc. who perform services on our behalf.

The Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President, James E. Ferrell beneficially owns all of our outstanding senior units at July 31, 2002. During fiscal 2002, we paid JEF Capital Management, an entity beneficially owned by Mr. Ferrell, \$776,445 to redeem 19,411 senior units and \$11,192,000 in senior unit distributions. As of July 31, 2002, we had recognized a liability for the senior unit distribution of \$2,782,211 that was paid to JEF Capital Management on September 13, 2002.

Ferrell International Limited and FI Trading, Inc. are beneficially owned by James E. Ferrell and thus are our affiliates. We entered into certain forward, option and swap contracts with these affiliates as counterparties. These contracts are entered into according to an affiliate trading policy as approved by the Board of Directors. All of these contracts are reviewed for compliance with the policy. In connection with these risk management transactions, we recognized net receipts from sales, purchases and commodity derivative transactions of \$10,692,000. The net sales, purchases and commodity derivative transactions with Ferrell International Limited and FI Trading, Inc. are classified as cost of product sold. Amounts due from and due to Ferrell International Limited at July 31, 2002 were \$396,000 and \$266,000, respectively.

We made payments of \$300,000 in connection with leased propane tanks from Ferrell Propane, Inc., a subsidiary of Ferrellgas, Inc until February 2002, at which time, Ferrell Propane sold all its tanks to an unrelated entity.

On December 12, 2001, we issued 37,487 common units to Ferrell Propane, Inc., a subsidiary of our general partner, in connection with the acquisition of Blue Flame Bottle Gas. The common unit issuance compensated Ferrell Propane for its retention of \$725,000 of certain tax liabilities of Blue Flame.

We believe these related party transactions were under terms that were no less favorable to us than those available with third parties.

See Note K to the Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion of transactions involving acquisitions related to Ferrellgas, Inc. and us.

ITEM 14. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

There have been no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the last time such controls were evaluated by management, including no corrective actions with respect to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in such controls.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.

- (a) 1. Financial Statements.
 - See "Index to Financial Statements" set forth on page F-1.
 - 2. Financial Statement Schedules.
 - See "Index to Financial Statement Schedules" set forth on page S-1.
 - Exhibits.
 - See "Index to Exhibits" set forth on page E-1.
- (b) Reports on Form 8-K.

We furnished one Form 8-K during the quarter ended July 31, 2002.

Date of Report	Reported	Financial Statements Filed
May 20, 2002	9	None

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

The exhibits listed below are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K of the Securities Act, which are not listed, are not applicable.

Exhibit Number Description

- 3.1 Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Ferrellgas Partners, L.P., dated as of April 6, 2001. Incorporated by reference to the same numbered Exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 6, 2001.
- 3.2 Articles of Incorporation for Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp. Incorporated by reference to the same numbered Exhibit to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed June 13, 1997.
- 3.3 Bylaws of Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp. Incorporated by reference to the same numbered Exhibit to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-0 filed June 13, 1997.
- 4.1 Indenture, dated as of September 24, 2002, with Form of Note attached, by and among Ferrellgas Partners, L.P., Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp., and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, relating to \$170,000,000 aggregate principal amount of our 8 3/4% Senior Notes due 2012. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 24, 2002.
- 4.2 Ferrellgas, L.P., Note Purchase Agreement, dated as of July 1, 1998, relating to: \$109,000,000 6.99% Senior Notes, Series A, due August 1, 2005, \$37,000,000 7.08% Senior Notes, Series B, due August 1, 2006, \$52,000,000 7.12% Senior Notes, Series C, due August 1, 2008, \$82,000,000 7.24% Senior Notes, Series D, due August 1, 2010, and \$70,000,000 7.42% Senior Notes, Series E, due August 1, 2013. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed October 29, 1998.
- 4.3 Ferrellgas, L.P., Note Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2000, relating to: \$21,000,000 8.68% Senior Notes, Series A, due August 1, 2006, \$70,000,000 8.78% Senior Notes, Series B, due August 1, 2007, and \$93,000,000 8.87% Senior Notes, Series C, due August 1, 2009. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed March 16, 2000.
- 4.4 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of December 17, 1999, by and between Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. and Williams Natural Gas Liquids, Inc. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 29, 2000.
- 4.5 First Amendment to the Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of March 14, 2000, by and between Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. and Williams Natural Gas Liquids, Inc. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed March 16, 2000.

- 4.6 Second Amendment to the Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of April 6, 2001, by and between Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. and The Williams Companies, Inc. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 6, 2001.
- 4.7 Representations Agreement, dated as of December 17, 1999, by and among Ferrellgas Partners, L.P., Ferrellgas, Inc., Ferrellgas, L.P. and Williams Natural Gas Liquids, Inc. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.3 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 29, 1999.
- 4.8 First Amendment to Representations Agreement, dated as of April 6, 2001, by and among Ferrellgas Partners, L.P., Ferrellgas, Inc., Ferrellgas, L.P. and The Williams Companies, Inc. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 6, 2001.
- 10.1 Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Ferrellgas, L.P., dated as of October 14, 1998. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed March 17, 1999.
- 10.2 First Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Ferrellgas, L.P. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed June 14, 2000.
- Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 18, 2000, by and among Ferrellgas, L.P., Ferrellgas, Inc., Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as agent, and the other financial institutions party thereto. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed June 14, 2000.
- 10.4 First Amendment to the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of January 17, 2001, by and among Ferrellgas, L.P., Ferrellgas, Inc., Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as agent, and the other financial institutions party thereto. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed March 14, 2001.
- Second Amendment to the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of July 16, 2001, by and among Ferrellgas, L.P., Ferrellgas, Inc., Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as agent, and the other financial institutions party thereto. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed October 25, 2001.
- 10.6 Receivable Interest Sale Agreement, dated as of September 26, 2000, by and between Ferrellgas, L.P., as originator, and Ferrellgas Receivables, L.L.C., as buyer. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed October 26, 2000.

- 10.7 First Amendment to the Receivable Interest Sale Agreement dated as of January 17, 2001, by and between Ferrellgas, L.P., as originator, and Ferrellgas Receivables, L.L.C., as buyer. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed March 14. 2001.
- 10.8 Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of September 26, 2000, by and among Ferrellgas Receivables, L.L.C., as seller, Ferrellgas, L.P., as servicer, Jupiter Securitization Corporation, the financial institutions from time to time party hereto, and Bank One, NA, main office Chicago, as agent. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed October 26, 2000.
- First Amendment to the Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of January 17, 2001, by and among Ferrellgas Receivables, L.L.C., as seller, Ferrellgas, L.P., as servicer, Jupiter Securitization Corporation, the financial institutions from time to time party hereto, and Bank One, N.A., main office Chicago, as agent. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed March 14, 2001.
- 10.10 Second Amendment to the Receivables Purchase Agreement dated as of September 25, 2001, by and among Ferrellgas Receivables, L.L.C., as seller, Ferrellgas, L.P., as servicer, Jupiter Securitization Corporation, the financial institutions from time to time party hereto, and Bank One, N.A., main office Chicago, as agent. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed October 25, 2001.
- * 10.11 Third Amendment to the Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of September 24, 2002, by and among Ferrellgas Receivables, L.L.C., as seller, Ferrellgas, L.P., as servicer, Jupiter Secruritization Corporation, the financial institutions from time to time party hereto, and Bank One, NA, main office Chicago, as agent.
 - 10.12 Pledge and Security Agreement, dated as of April 26, 1996, by and among Ferrellgas Partners, L.P., Ferrellgas, Inc., and American Bank National Association, as collateral agent. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 6, 1996.
 - 10.13 Lease Intended as Security, dated as of December 1, 1999, by and between Ferrellgas, L.P., as lessee, and First Security Bank, National Association, solely as certificate trustee, as lessor. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed December 13, 1999.
 - 10.14 Lease Intended as Security, dated as of December 15, 1999, by and between Thermogas L.L.C. as lessee and First Security Bank, National Association, solely as certificate trustee, as lessor. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 29, 2000.
- Participation Agreement, dated as of December 1, 1999, by and among Ferrellgas, L.P., as lessee, Ferrellgas, Inc., as general partner, First Security Bank, National Association, solely as certificate trustee, First Security Trust Company of Nevada, solely as agent, and purchasers and lenders named therein. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed December 13, 1999.

- 10.16 Participation Agreement, dated as of December 15, 1999, by and among Thermogas L.L.C., as lessee, The Williams Companies, Inc., First Security Bank, National Association, solely as certificate trustee, First Security Trust Company of Nevada, solely as agent, and the purchasers and lenders named therein. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 29, 1999.
- Assumption Agreement, dated as of December 17, 1999, executed by Ferrellgas, L.P. and Ferrellgas, Inc., for the benefit of the First Security Trust Company of Nevada as agent, First Security Bank, National Association solely as Certificate trustee and the purchasers and lenders named therein. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 29, 2000.
- 10.18 Omnibus Amendment Agreement, dated as of February 4, 2000, in respect of the Ferrellgas, L.P. Trust No. 1999-A: Participation Agreement, Loan Agreement and Trust Agreement each dated as of December 1, 1999. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to our Annual Report of Form 10-K filed October 25, 2001.
- 10.19 Omnibus Amendment Agreement, dated as of February 4, 2000, in respect of the Thermogas Trust No. 1999-A: Participation Agreement, Loan Agreement and Trust Agreement each dated as of December 15, 1999. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to our Annual Report of Form 10-K filed October 25, 2001.
- Omnibus Amendment Agreement No. 2, dated as of April 18, 2000, in respect of the Ferrellgas, L.P. Trust No. 1999-A: Participation Agreement, Lease Intended as Security and Loan Agreement each dated as of December 1, 1999. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed June 14, 2000.
- Omnibus Amendment Agreement No. 2, dated as of April 18, 2000, in respect of the Thermogas Trust No. 1999-A: Participation Agreement, Lease Intended as Security and Loan Agreement each dated as of December 15, 1999. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed June 14, 2000.
- Omnibus Amendment Agreement No. 3, dated as of December 28, 2000, in respect of the Ferrellgas, L.P. Trust No. 1999-A: Participation Agreement dated as of December 1, 1999. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed March 14, 2001.
- Omnibus Amendment Agreement No. 3, dated as of December 28, 2000, in respect of the Thermogas Trust No. 1999-A: Participation Agreement dated as of December 15, 1999. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed March 14, 2001.

Exhibit Number	Description	
10.24	Purchase Agreement, dated as of November 7, 1999, by and among Ferrellgas Partners, L.P., Ferrellgas, L.P and Williams Natural Gas Liquids, Inc. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 12, 1999.	
10.25	First Amendment to Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 17, 1999, by and among Ferrellgas Partners, L.P., Ferrellgas, L.P., and Williams Natural Gas Liquids, Inc. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 29, 1999.	
10.26	Second Amendment to Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 14, 2000, by and among Ferrellgas Partners, L.P., Ferrellgas L.P., and Williams Natural Gas Liquids, Inc. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed March 16, 2000.	
10.27	Third Amendment to Purchase Agreement dated as of April 6, 2001, by and among Ferrellgas Partners, L.P., Ferrellgas L.P. and The Williams Companies, Inc. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 6, 2001.	
# 10.28	Ferrell Companies, Inc. Supplemental Savings Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed October 17, 1995.	
# 10.29	Second Amended and Restated Ferrellgas Unit Option Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 5, 2001.	
# 10.30	Ferrell Companies, Inc. 1998 Incentive Compensation Plan - Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed October 29, 1998.	
# 10.31	Employment agreement between James E. Ferrell and Ferrellgas, Inc., dated July 31, 1998. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed October 29, 1998.	
# 10.32	Employment agreement between Patrick Chesterman and Ferrellgas, Inc. dated July 31, 2000. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed October 26, 2000.	
# 10.33	Employment agreement between Kevin Kelly and Ferrellgas, Inc. dated July 31, 2000. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed October 26, 2000.	
* 21.1	List of subsidiaries.	
* 23.1	Consent of Deloitte & Touche, LLP, independent auditors.	
* #	Filed herewith Management contracts or compensatory plans.	
	E-5	

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

FERRELLGAS PARTNERS, L.P.

By Ferrellgas, Inc. (General Partner)

Date

By /s/ James. E. Ferrell

James E. Ferrell Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Title

Signature

Signature	TICLE	Date
/s/ James. E. Ferrell James E. Ferrell	Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	10/16/02
/s/ A. Andrew Levison A. Andrew Levison	Director	10/16/02
/s/ Elizabeth T. Solberg Elizabeth T. Solberg	Director	10/16/02
/s/ Michael F. Morrissey Michael F. Morrissey	Director	10/16/02
/s/ Kevin T. Kelly Kevin T. Kelly	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	10/16/02

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

FERRELLGAS PARTNERS FINANCE CORP.

By /s/ James. E. Ferrell

James E. Ferrell
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Date

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Title

Signature

/s/ James. E. Ferrell James E. Ferrell	Chief Executive Officer and, Sole Director (Principal Executive Officer)	10/16/02
/s/ Kevin T. Kelly 	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	10/16/02

Certifications

- I, James E. Ferrell, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Ferrellgas Partners, L.P.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report; and
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report.

Date: October 16, 2002

/s/ James. E. Ferrell

James E. Ferrell

Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

- I, Kevin T. Kelly, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Ferrellgas Partners, L.P.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report; and
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report.

Date: October 16, 2002

/s/ Kevin T. Kelly

Kevin T. Kelly

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Pa	age
Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. and Subsidiaries	
Independent Auditors' Report	
Consolidated Balance Sheets - July 31, 2002 and 2001	3
Consolidated Statements of Earnings - Years ended	
July 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000F	-4
Consolidated Statements of Partners' Capital -	
Years ended July 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000	-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows -	
Years ended July 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000F	
Notes to Consolidated Financial StatementsF	-7
llar Bratana Firence Oran	
Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp.	
Independent Auditors' ReportF	
Balance Sheets - July 31, 2002 and 2001F	-30
Statements of Earnings - Years ended	
July 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000	31
Statements of Stockholder's Equity -	
Years ended July 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000	32
Statements of Cash Flows - Years ended	
July 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000	
Notes to Financial Statements	-34

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Partners of Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. and Subsidiaries Liberty, Missouri

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. and subsidiaries (the "Partnership") as of July 31, 2002 and 2001, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, partners' capital and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended July 31, 2002. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Partnership's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. and subsidiaries as of July 31, 2002 and 2001, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended July 31, 2002, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP Kansas City, Missouri September 12, 2002

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in thousands, except unit data)

	July 31,			
ASSETS	2002	2001		
Current Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents Accounts and notes receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,467 and	\$ 19,781	\$ 25,386		
\$3,159 in 2002 and 2001, respectively) Inventories	74,274 48,034	56,772 65,284		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	10,724 152,813	10,504		
Total Current Assets				
Property, plant and equipment, net Goodwill Intangible assets, net Other assets Total Assets	\$885,128	491,194 114,171 116,747 16,101 \$896,159		
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL Current Liabilities: Accounts payable Other current liabilities	\$54,316 89,061	\$58,274 77,610		
Total Current Liabilities		135,884		
Long-term debt Other liabilities Contingencies and commitments (Note L) Minority interest	703,858 14,861 - 1,871	704,782 15,472 - 2,034		
Partners' Capital: Senior unitholder (2,782,211 and 2,801,622 units outstanding at 2002 and 2001, respectively - liquidation preference \$111,288 and \$112,065, respectively)	111,288	112,065		
Common unitholders (36,081,203 and 35,908,366 units outstanding in 2002 and 2001, respectively)	(28,320)	(12,959)		
General partner (392,556 and 391,010 units outstanding at 2002 and 2001, respectively) Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(59,035) (2,772)	(58,738) (2,381)		
Total Partners' Capital	21, 161	37,987		
Total Liabilities and Partners' Capital	\$885,128	\$896,159 ======		

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS (in thousands, except per unit data)

	For the year ended July 31,					
	2002	2001	2000			
Revenues: Gas liquids and related product sales Other	\$ 953,117 81,679	\$1,381,940 86,730	\$ 879,380 79,643			
Total revenues		1,468,670				
Cost of product sold (exclusive of depreciation, shown separately below)	533,437	930,117	530,979			
Gross profit	501,359	538,553	428,044			
Operating expense Depreciation and amortization expense General and administrative expense Equipment lease expense Employee stock ownership plan compensation charge Loss (gain) on disposal of assets and other	279,624 41,937 27,157 24,551 5,218 3,957	288, 258 56, 523 25, 508 30, 986 4, 843 5, 744	255,838 61,633 24,587 25,518 3,733 (356)			
Operating income	118,915	126,691	57,091			
Interest expense Interest income Other charges	(59,608) 1,423 -	(61,544) 3,027 (3,277)	(58,298) 2,229 -			
Earnings before minority interest	60,730	64,897	1,022			
Minority interest	771	829	162			
Net earnings	59,959	64,068	860			
Distribution to senior unitholder Net earnings (loss) available to general partner	488	18,013 461	(102)			
Net earnings (loss) available to common unitholders	\$ 48,299	\$ 45,594 ========	(\$10,146)			
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common unit		\$ 1.43 ======				

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF PARTNERS' CAPITAL (in thousands)

		Number of u	units						Accum- ulated	
	Senior unitholder	Common unitholders	Sub- ordinate unitholder	General partner unitholder	Senior unitholder	Common unitholder	Sub- ordinate unitholder	General partner unitholder	other compre- hensive income	Total partners' capital
August 1, 1999	-	14,710.8	16,593.7	-	\$ -	\$ 1,215	\$(10,516)	\$(59,553)	\$(797)	\$(69,651)
Conversion of subordinate units into common unit		16,593.7	(16,593.7)	-	-	(10,516)	10,516	-	-	-
Units issued in connection with acquisitions: Common units	-	2.6	-	-	-	45	-	-	-	45
Senior units Fees paid to issue senior	4,375.0	-	-	-	175,000	-	-	1,768	-	176,768
units	-	-	-	-	(8,925)	-	-	-	-	(8,925)
General partner interest conversion to general partner units	-	-	-	360.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Accretion of discount on senior units	-	-	-	-	2,603	(2,575)	-	(28)	-	-
Contribution in connection with ESOP compensation charge	-	-	-	-	-	3,661	-	36	-	3,697
Quarterly cash distributions	-	-	-	-	-	(62,615)	-	(632)	-	(63,247)
Senior unit paid in kind distributions	277.7	-	-	2.8	11,108	(10,997)	-	(111)	-	-
Comprehensive income: Net earnings Pension liability	-	-	-	-	-	851	-	9	-	860
adjustment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	797	797
Comprehensive income										1,657
July 31, 2000	4,652.7	31,307.1	-	363.2	179,786	(80,931)	-	(58,511)	-	40,344
Accretion of discount on senior units	-	-	-	-	6,321	(6,258)	-	(63)	-	-
Contribution in connection with ESOP compensation charge	-	-	-	-	-	4,745	-	48	-	4,793
Common unit cash distributions	-	-	-	-	-	(62,645)	-	(632)	-	(63,277)
Senior unit paid in kind distributions	235.5	-	-	2.4	9,422	(9,328)	-	(94)	-	-
Senior unit cash and accrued distributions	-	-	-	-	-	(8,535)	-	(144)	-	(8,679)
Common unit options exercised	-	101.3	-	1	-	1,701	-	17	-	1,718
Common unit offering, net	: -	4,500.0	-	45.5	-	84,865	-	-	-	84,865
Redemption of senior units	(2,086.6)	-	-	(21.1)	(83,464)	-	-	-	-	(83,464)
Comprehensive income: Net earnings Other comprehensive income: Cumulative effect of accounting	-	-	-	-	-	63,427	-	641	-	64,068
change Risk management fair value	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	709	
adjustment Reclassification	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(289)	
adjustments Pension liability	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(709)	
adjustment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,092)	(2,381)

Comprehensive income										61,687
July 31, 2001	2,801.6	35,908.4	-	391.0	112,065	(12,959)	-	(58,738)	(2,381)	37,987
Contribution in connection with ESOP compensation charge	-	-	-	-	-	5,114	-	51	-	5,165
Common unit cash distributions	-	-	-	-	-	(72,044)	-	(727)	-	(72,771)
Senior unit cash and accrued distributions	-	-	-	-	-	(11,030)	-	(253)	-	(11,283)
Redemption of senior units	(19.4)	-	-	(0.2)	(777)	-	-	-	-	(777)
Common unit options exercised	-	55.4	-	0.6	-	930	-	9	-	939
Common units issued in connection with acquisitions	-	117.5	-	1.2	-	2,310	-	23	-	2,333
Comprehensive income: Net earnings Other comprehensive income: Risk management	-	-	-	-	-	59,359	-	600	-	59,959
fair value adjustment Pension liability	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	136	
adjustment 	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(527)	(391)
Comprehensive income										59,568
July 31, 2002	2,782.2	36,081.3 =======	-	392.6 ======	\$111,288 =======	\$ (28,320) ======	\$ - =======	\$(59,035) ======	\$(2,772) ======	\$21,161 ======

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in thousands)

		year ended	
	2002	2001	2000
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:			
Net earnings Reconciliation of net earnings to net cash provided	\$59,959	\$64,068	\$ 860
by operating activities	44 007	FC F00	64 600
Depreciation and amortization Employee stock ownership plan compensation charge	41,937 5,218	56,523 4,843	61,633 3,733
Minority interest	771	4,843 829	162
Other Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects from business acquisitions:	4,295	7,555	2,759
Accounts and notes receivable, net of securitization Inventories	19,614	(9,121)	(12,609) (25,423)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,661	(2,071)	(731)
Accounts payable	(1,386)	(39, 792)	(731) 10,418
Accrued interest expense Other current liabilities	(434) 1 915	1,157 2 233	6,594 7 140
Other liabilities	2,057	1,157 2,233 2,302	7,140 (1,184)
Net cash provided by operating activities	152,925	99,859	53,352
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:			
Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(6,294)	(4,668)	47,656
Cash paid for acquisition transaction fees			(15 002)
Capital expenditures - technology initiative Capital expenditures - other	(23,114) (14 402)	(100) (15 148)	- (20 755)
Net proceeds (payments) - accounts receivable securitization	(31,000)	31,000	-
Proceeds from sale leaseback transaction Other	- 4 240	- 1 652	(15,893) - (20,755) - 25,000 5,743
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(70,570)	12,736	41,751
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:			
Distributions Issuance of common units, net of issuance costs	(84,075) -		(63,247)
Redemption of senior units	(777)	(83,464)	-
Proceeds from issuance of debt	- (0.000)	9,843 (26,205)	226,490
Principal payments on debt Net reductions to short-term borrowings	(3,069)	(26, 205) (18, 342)	(2/6,111) (2,144)
Cash paid for debt and lease financing costs	-	(56)	(2,144) (3,163) 1,008 - 1,768
Minority interest activity	(994)	(848)	1,008
Proceeds from exercise of common unit options Cash contribution from general partner	939 16	1,718 -	1,768
Other		(433)	,
Net cash used in financing activities		(102,047)	
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(5,605)		
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of year	25,386	14,838	35,134
Cash and cash equivalents - end of year	\$19,781	\$25,386 ======	\$14,838
Cash paid for interest	\$57,732	\$57,893 ======	

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

FERRELLGAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

A. Partnership Organization and Formation

Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. (the "Master Limited Partnership" or "MLP") was formed April 19, 1994, and is a publicly traded limited partnership, owning a 99% limited partner interest in Ferrellgas, L.P. (the "Operating Partnership" or "OLP"). The MLP and the OLP (collectively referred to as the "Partnership") are both Delaware limited partnerships. Both the MLP and the OLP are governed by partnership agreements that were made effective at the time of formation of the partnerships. Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. was formed to acquire and hold a limited partner interest in the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership was formed to acquire, own and operate the propane business and assets of Ferrellgas, Inc. (the "Company" or "General Partner"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Ferrell Companies, Inc. ("Ferrell"). Ferrell owns 17,855,087 of the outstanding MLP common units. The Company has retained a 1% general partner interest in Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. and also holds a 1.0101% general partner interest in the Operating Partnership, representing an effective 2% general partner interest in the Partnership on a combined basis. As General Partner of the Partnership, the Company performs all management functions required for the Partnership.

On July 17, 1998, 100% of the outstanding common stock of Ferrell was purchased primarily from Mr. James E. Ferrell and his family by a newly established leveraged employee stock ownership trust ("ESOT") established pursuant to the Ferrell Companies, Inc. Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP"). The purpose of the ESOP is to provide employees of the Company an opportunity for ownership in Ferrell and indirectly in the MLP. As contributions are made by Ferrell to the ESOP in the future, shares of Ferrell are allocated to the Company employees' ESOP accounts.

On December 17, 1999, the MLP's Partnership Agreement was amended to allow for the issuance of a newly created senior unit, in connection with an acquisition. Generally, these senior units were to be paid quarterly distributions in additional senior units equal to 10% per annum. Also, the senior units were structured to allow for a redemption by the MLP at any time, in whole or in part, upon payment in cash of the liquidating value of the senior units, currently \$40 per unit, plus the amount of any accrued and unpaid distributions. The holder of the senior units also had the right, at dates in the future and subject to certain events and conditions, to convert any outstanding senior units into common units.

On June 5, 2000, the MLP's Partnership Agreement was amended to allow the General Partner to have an option in maintaining its 1% general partner interest concurrent with the issuance of other additional equity. Prior to this amendment, the General Partner was required to make capital contributions to maintain its 1% general partner interest concurrent with the issuance of any additional MLP equity. Also as part of this amendment, the General Partner's interest in the MLP's Common Units was converted from a General Partner interest to General Partner units.

On April 6, 2001, the MLP's Partnership Agreement was amended to reflect modifications made to the senior units, previously issued on December 17, 1999, and the common units owned by Ferrell. The senior units are to be paid quarterly distributions in cash equivalent to 10% per annum or \$4 per senior unit. The amendment also granted the holder of the senior units the right, subject to certain events and conditions, to convert any outstanding senior units into common units at the earlier of December 31, 2005 or upon the occurrence of a material event as defined by the Partnership Agreement. Also as part of the amendment, Ferrell granted the Partnership the ability, until December 31, 2005, to defer future distributions on the common units held by it, up to an aggregate outstanding amount of \$36,000,000.

- (1) Nature of operations: The Partnership is engaged primarily in the retail distribution of propane and related equipment and supplies in the United States. The retail market is seasonal because propane is used primarily for heating in residential and commercial buildings. The Partnership serves more than 1,000,000 residential, industrial/commercial and agricultural customers.
- (2) Accounting estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Significant estimates impacting the consolidated financial statements include reserves that have been established for product liability and other claims.
- (3) Principles of consolidation: The accompanying consolidated financial statements present the consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Partnership and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp. The Company's 1.0101% General Partner interest in Ferrellgas, L.P. is accounted for as a minority interest. The wholly-owned subsidiary of the OLP, Ferrellgas Receivables, LLC, is accounted for using the equity method of accounting. All material intercompany profits, transactions and balances have been eliminated.
- (4) Cash and cash equivalents: For purposes of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, the Partnership considers cash equivalents to include all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less.
- (5) Inventories: Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market using average cost and actual cost methods. The Partnership enters into commodity derivative contracts involving propane and related products to hedge, reduce risk and anticipate market movements. The fair value of these derivative contracts is classified as inventory.
- (6) Property, plant and equipment: Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Expenditures for maintenance and routine repairs are expensed as incurred. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the assets ranging from two to 30 years. In the first quarter of fiscal 2001, the Partnership increased the estimate of the residual values of its existing customer and storage tanks. This change in accounting estimate resulted from a review by management of its tank values established through an independent tank valuation obtained in connection with a financing completed in December 1999. The Partnership, using its best estimates based on reasonable and supportable assumptions and projections, reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of its assets might not be recoverable.
- (7) Goodwill: Goodwill is not amortized and is tested annually for impairment. Beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2002, the Partnership adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142 which modified the financial accounting and reporting for acquired goodwill and other intangible assets, including the requirement that goodwill and some intangible assets no longer be amortized. The Partnership tested goodwill for impairment at the time the statement was adopted and during the third quarter of fiscal 2002, and will continue to do so on an annual basis. The results of these impairment tests did not have a material effect on the Partnership's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. The Partnership did not recognize any impairment losses as a result of these tests.

- (8) Intangible assets: Intangible assets, consisting primarily of customer lists and noncompete notes, are stated at cost, net of amortization calculated using either straight-line or accelerated methods over periods ranging from two to 15 years. The Partnership reviews identifiable intangibles for impairment in a similar manner as with long-lived assets. The Partnership, using its best estimates based on reasonable and supportable assumptions and projections, reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of its assets might not be recoverable.
- (9) Accounting for derivative commodity contracts: The Partnership enters into commodity options involving propane and related products to specifically hedge certain product cost risk. Any changes in the fair value of these specific cash flow hedge positions are deferred and included in other comprehensive income and recognized as an adjustment to the overall purchase price of product in the month the purchase contract is settled. The Partnership also enters into other commodity forward and futures purchase/sale agreements and commodity swaps and options involving propane and related products, which are not specific hedges to a certain product cost risk, but are used for risk management purposes. To the extent such contracts are entered into at fixed prices and thereby subject the Partnership to market risk, the contracts are accounted for using the fair value method. Under this valuation method, derivatives are carried on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value with changes in that value recognized in earnings. The Partnership classifies all gains and losses from these derivative commodity contracts entered into for product risk management purposes as cost of product sold on the Consolidated Statements of Earnings.
- (10) Revenue recognition: Sales of propane are recognized by the Partnership at the time product is delivered to its customers. Revenue from the sale of propane appliances and equipment is recognized at the time of delivery or installation. Revenues from repairs and maintenance are recognized upon completion of the service.
- (11) Income taxes: The MLP is a limited partnership. As a result, the MLP's earnings or losses for Federal income tax purposes are included in the tax returns of the individual partners, the MLP unitholders. Accordingly, no recognition has been given to income taxes in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements of the Partnership. Net earnings for financial statement purposes may differ significantly from taxable income reportable to MLP unitholders as a result of differences between the tax basis and financial reporting basis of assets and liabilities and the taxable income allocation requirements under the Partnership Agreement.
- (12) Net earnings per common unit: Net earnings (loss) per common unit is computed by dividing net earnings, after deducting the General Partner's 1% interest and accrued and paid senior unit distributions, by the weighted average number of outstanding common units and the dilutive effect, if any, of outstanding unit options. There was a less than \$0.01 effect on the dilutive earnings per unit calculation when making the assumption that all outstanding unit options were exercised into common units.
- (13) Unit and stock-based compensation: The Partnership accounts for its Unit Option Plan and the Ferrell Companies Incentive Compensation Plan using the intrinsic value method under the provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and makes the fair value method pro forma disclosures required under the provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation."
- (14) Segment information: The Partnership is a single reportable operating segment engaging in the retail distribution of propane and related equipment and supplies.

(15) Adoption of new accounting standards: The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) recently issued SFAS No. 141 "Business Combinations", SFAS No. 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets", SFAS No. 143 "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations", SFAS No. 144 "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets", SFAS No. 145 "Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44, and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections", and SFAS No. 146 "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities."

SFAS No. 141 requirements include, among other things, that all business combinations be accounted for by a single method - the purchase method. It applies to all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001. The Partnership has historically accounted for business combinations using the purchase method; therefore, this new statement will not have a substantial impact on how the Partnership accounts for future combinations.

SFAS No. 142 modified the financial accounting and reporting for acquired goodwill and other intangible assets, including the requirement that goodwill and some intangible assets no longer be amortized. The Partnership adopted SFAS No. 142 beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2002. This adoption resulted in a reclassification to goodwill of both assembled workforce and other intangible assets. Although there was no cash flow effect, the Partnership's amortization expense decreased by \$10,600,000 in fiscal 2002, compared to the amortization that would have been recorded had the new accounting statement not been issued. This new standard also required us to test goodwill for impairment at the time the standard was adopted and also on an annual basis. The results of these impairment tests did not have a material effect on the Partnership's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. The Partnership did not recognize any impairment losses as a result of these tests.

SFAS No. 143 requires the recognition of a liability if a company has a legal or contractual financial obligation in connection with the retirement of a tangible long-lived asset. The Partnership will implement SFAS No. 143 beginning in the fiscal year ending July 31, 2003, and expects to record a one-time reduction to earnings during the first quarter of fiscal 2003, as a cumulative change in accounting principle, of approximately \$2,800,000. The Partnership believes the implementation will not have a material ongoing effect on its financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

SFAS No. 144 modifies the financial accounting and reporting for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale and it broadens the presentation of discontinued operations to include more disposal transactions. The Partnership will implement SFAS No. 144 beginning in the fiscal year ending July 31, 2003, and believes the implementation will not have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

SFAS No. 145 eliminates the requirement that material gains and losses resulting from the early extinguishment of debt be classified as an extraordinary item in the results of operations. Instead, companies must evaluate whether the transaction meets both the criteria of being unusual in nature and infrequent in occurrence. Other aspects of SFAS No. 145 relating to accounting for intangibles assets of motor carriers and accounting for certain lease modifications do not currently apply to the Partnership. The Partnership will implement SFAS No. 145 beginning in the fiscal year ending July 31, 2003, and believes the implementation will not have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

SFAS No. 146 modifies the financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities. This statement requires that a liability for a cost associated with an exit or disposal activity be recognized when the liability is incurred. Additionally, the statement requires the liability to be recognized and measured initially at fair value. Under previous rules, liabilities for exit costs were recognized at the date of the entity's commitment to an exit plan. The Partnership will adopt and implement SFAS No. 146 for any exit or disposal activities that are initiated after July 31, 2002. The Partnership believes the implementation will not have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

(16) Reclassifications: Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior years' Consolidated Financial Statements to conform to the current year's Consolidated Financial Statements' presentation.

C. Quarterly Distributions of Available Cash

The Partnership makes quarterly cash distributions of all of its "available cash", generally defined as consolidated cash receipts less consolidated cash disbursements and net changes in reserves established by the General Partner for future requirements. Reserves are retained in order to provide for the proper conduct of the Partnership business, or to provide funds for distributions with respect to any one or more of the next four fiscal quarters. Distributions are made within 45 days after the end of each fiscal quarter ending January, April, July and October to holders of record on the applicable record date.

Distributions by the MLP in an amount equal to 100% of its available cash, as defined in its Partnership Agreement, will be made to the senior and common unitholders and the general partner. Additionally, the payment of incentive distributions to the holders of incentive distribution rights will be made to the extent that certain target levels of cash distributions are achieved. The senior units have certain distribution and preference rights over the common units. The publicly held common units have certain distribution preference rights over the common units held by Ferrell Companies.

On April 6, 2001, the Partnership modified the structure of its outstanding On April 6, 2001, the Partnership modified the structure of its outstanding senior units and increased the cash distribution coverage to its publicly held common unitholders. Among other changes, the senior units were modified to allow the holder to be paid a quarterly distribution in cash instead of in additional senior unit distributions. See Note A for additional information about the modifications to the senior units. In addition, Ferrell Companies, Inc., the beneficial owner of 17,855,087 common units, granted the Partnership the ability to defer future distributions on the common units held by it up to an aggregate outstanding amount of \$36,000,000. The ability to defer distributions to Ferrell provides the MLP's public common unitholders distribution support until December 31, 2005. This new distribution support is available if the Partnership's available cash for any fiscal quarter is insufficient to pay all of the common unitholders their quarterly distribution. The MLP will first pay a distribution to the senior units and then will pay a distribution out of the remaining available cash to the publicly-held common units. Any remaining available cash will then be used to pay a distribution on the common units held by Ferrell. Any quarterly distribution paid per unit to the publicly-held common units that is not able to be paid on the Ferrell-owned common units will be deferred, within certain limits, and paid to Ferrell in future quarters when available cash is sufficient. If insufficient available cash should exist for a particular quarter or any previous deferred distributions to Ferrell remain outstanding, the distribution declared per common unit may not be more than the highest quarterly distribution paid on the common units for any of the immediately preceding four fiscal quarters. If the cumulative amount of deferred quarterly distributions to Ferrell were to reach \$36,000,000, the common units held by Ferrell will then be paid in the same priority as the publicly-held common units. After payment of all required distributions for any subsequent period, the MLP will use any remaining available cash to reduce any amount previously deferred on the common units held by Ferrell. Reductions in amounts previously deferred will then again be available for future deferrals to Ferrell through December 31, 2005. In connection with these transactions, during fiscal 2001 the MLP incurred \$3,277,000 in banking, legal and other professional fees that are classified as other charges in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

D. Supplemental Balance Sheet Information

Inventories consist of:

	=======	=======
	\$48,034	\$65,284
Appliances, parts and supplies	18,865	19,318
Propane gas and related products	\$29,169	\$45,966
(in thousands)	2002	2001

In addition to inventories on hand, the Partnership enters into contracts to buy product for supply purposes. Nearly all of these contracts have terms of less than one year and most call for payment based on market prices at the date of delivery. All fixed price contracts have terms of less than one year. As of July 31, 2002, in addition to the inventory on hand, the Partnership had committed to make net delivery of approximately 7,061,000 gallons at a fixed price.

Property, plant and equipment consist of:

(in thousands)	Estimated useful lives	2002	2001
Land and improvements	2-20	\$ 40,781	\$ 41,191
Buildings and improvements	20	54,453	54,384
Vehicles, including transport trailers	8-20	77,226	76,611
Furniture and fixtures	5	8,730	9,523
Bulk equipment and district facilities	5-30	93,816	90,930
Tanks and customer equipment	5-30	473,324	472,593
Computer equipment and software	2-5	29,530	25,515
Computer software development in progress	n/a	29,904	100
Other		2,652	3,281
		810,416	774,128
Less: accumulated depreciation		303,885	282,934
		\$506,531	\$491,194
		=======	=======

In a non-cash transaction, the Partnership has recognized payables as of July 31, 2002, totaling \$6,956,000 related to the development of new computer software. The Partnership capitalized \$697,000 of interest expense related to the development of computer software for the year ended July 31, 2002. Depreciation expense totaled \$27,915,000, \$28,332,000, and \$37,941,000 for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively. In the first quarter of fiscal 2001, the Partnership increased the estimate of the residual values of its existing customer and storage tanks. Due to this change in the tank residual values, depreciation expense decreased by approximately \$12,000,000 in both fiscal 2002 and 2001 or \$0.33 and \$0.38 per common unit, respectively, as compared to the depreciation that would have been recorded using the previously estimated residual values.

	\$89,061	\$77,610
Other	33,202	26,502
Accrued insurance	9,409	8,056
Accrued payroll	24,068	20,236
Accrued interest	\$22,382	\$22,816
(in thousands)	2002	2001

E. Accounts Receivable Securitization

On September 26, 2000, the OLP entered into an account receivable securitization facility with Bank One, NA. As part of this renewable 364-day facility, the OLP transfers an interest in a pool of its trade accounts receivable to Ferrellgas Receivables, LLC, a wholly-owned, special purpose entity, which sells its interest to a commercial paper conduit of Banc One, NA. The OLP does not provide any guarantee or similar support to the collectability of these receivables. The OLP structured the facility using a wholly-owned, qualifying special purpose entity in order to facilitate the transaction as required by Banc One, N.A. and to comply with the Partnership's various debt covenants. The OLP remits daily to this special purpose entity funds collected on the pool of trade receivables held by Ferrellgas Receivables. The Partnership renewed the facility effective September 25, 2001, for a 364-day commitment with Bank One, NA and intends to renew the facility for an additional 364-day commitment on September 24, 2002. From the inception of this facility in September 2000 through July 31, 2002, the Partnership's cash flows related to this facility between the OLP and Ferrellgas Receivables are detailed as follows:

(in thousands)	2002	2001
Proceeds from new securitizations Proceeds from collections reinvested in revolving period	\$ -	\$ 115,000
securitizations Remittance of amounts collected on securitizations	390,677 (421,677)	725,955 (809,955)
Net proceeds (payments) - accounts receivable securitization	\$ (31,000)	\$ 31,000
Cash invested in unconsolidated subsidiary	\$ 1,017 =======	\$ 3,399 ======

The level of funding available from this facility is currently limited to \$60,000,000. In accordance with SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities," this transaction is reflected on the Partnership's Consolidated Financial Statements as a sale of accounts receivable and an investment in an unconsolidated subsidiary. The OLP retained servicing rights and the right to collect finance charges, however, the assets related to these retained interests at July 31, 2002 and 2001, had no material effect on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The following table provides amounts recorded on the Partnership's statement of earnings and balance sheet.

(in thousands)	2002	2001
Statement of earnings information		4.7.040
Loss on sale of receivables Equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiary Service income	\$ 3,862 (1,843) (1,285)	\$ 7,816 2,205 (1,326)
Amount included in "Loss (gain) on disposal of assets and other"	\$ 734 ======	\$ 8,695 ======
Balance sheet information Investment in unconsolidated subsidiary, included in "other assets"	\$ - ======	\$ 7,225 =======

These amounts reported in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings approximate the financing cost of issuing commercial paper backed by these accounts receivable plus an allowance for doubtful accounts associated with the outstanding receivables transferred to Ferrellgas Receivables.

F. Goodwill

SFAS No. 142 modified the financial accounting and reporting for acquired goodwill and other intangible assets, including the requirement that goodwill and some intangible assets no longer be amortized. The Partnership adopted SFAS No. 142 beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2002. This adoption resulted in a reclassification to goodwill of both assembled workforce and other intangible assets classified as other assets with remaining book value of \$10,019,000. The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the year ended July 31, 2002, are as follows:

(in thousands)	Goodwill	Intangible Assets	Other Assets
Balance as of July 31, 2001, net of accumulated			
amortization	\$114,171	\$116,747	\$16,101
Reclassified to goodwill	10,019	(8,221)	(1,798)
Additions during the period	-	3,866	
Amortization expense	-	(14,022)	_
Reduction of investment in unconsolidated		(7,225)	
subsidiary (see Note E)	-	-	
Other changes	-	(200)	(3,654)
Balance as of July 31, 2002	\$124,190	\$ 98,170	\$ 3,424
batance as or sary st, 2002	Ψ124, 190 =======	Ψ 30,170	Ψ 3,424 =======

The remaining intangible assets are subject to amortization. The following table discloses our net earnings for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2001 and 2000, adding back the amortization expense related to goodwill and some intangible assets that are no longer amortized.

	=======	=======	=======
Adjusted net earnings	\$59,959	\$75,376	\$7,334
Add back: Goodwill amortization	-	11,308	6,474
Reported net earnings	\$59,959	\$64,068	\$ 860
(in thousands)	2002	2001	2000
	FOI LITE	year ended	July 31,

For the year ended July 21

Basic and diluted earnings per common unit:

	For the year ended July 31,		July 31,
	2002	2001	2000
Reported net earnings (loss) available to common unitholders	\$1.34	\$1.43	\$(0.32)
Goodwill amortization	-	0.32	0.23
Adjusted net earnings (loss) available to common unitholders	\$1.34	\$1.75	\$(0.09)
	======	======	======

Intangible Assets, net

Intangible assets, net consist of:

	July 31, 2002					
(in thousands)	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Customer lists Non-compete agreements Assembled workforce	\$208,662 62,893	\$(124,860) (48,525)	\$83,802 14,368	\$207,667 60,222 9,600	\$(114,679) (44,684) (1,379)	\$92,988 15,538 8,221
Total	\$271,555 =======	\$(173,385) =======	\$98,170 ======	\$277,489 =======	\$(160,742) =======	\$116,747 ======

Customer lists have estimated lives of 15 years, while non-compete agreements have estimated lives ranging from two to 10 years.

(in thousands) Aggregate Amortization Expense:

		2002	2001	2000
For the year end	ded July 31,	\$14,022	\$16,883	\$17,218

(in thousands)
Estimated Amortization Expense:

For	the yea	r ended	July 31,	2003	\$11,656
For	the yea	r ended	July 31,	2004	10,682
For	the yea	r ended	July 31,	2005	10,150
For	the yea	r ended	July 31,	2006	9,631
For	the yea	r ended	July 31,	2007	8,991

Long-Term Debt Н.

Long-term debt consists of:

(in thousands)	2002	2001
Senior Notes		
Fixed rate, 7.16% due 2005-2013 (1)	\$350,000	\$350,000
Fixed rate, 9.375%, due 2006 (2)	160,000	160,000
Fixed rate, 8.8%, due 2006-2009 (3)	184,000	184,000
Notes payable, 7.6% and 7.9% weighted average interest rates, respectively,		
due 2002 to 2011	12,177	12,566
	706,177	706,566
Less: current portion, included in other		
current liabilities	2,319	1,784
	\$703,858	\$704,782
	=======	=======

- (1) The OLP fixed rate Senior Notes ("\$350 million Senior Notes"), issued in August 1998, are general unsecured obligations of the OLP and rank on an equal basis in right of payment with all senior indebtedness of the OLP and senior to all subordinated indebtedness of the OLP. The outstanding principal amount of the Series A, B, C, D and E Notes shall be due on August 1, 2005, 2006, 2008, 2010, and 2013, respectively. In general, the OLP does not have the option to prepay the Notes prior to maturity without incurring prepayment penalties.
- (2) The Partnership has a commitment to redeem on September 24, 2002, the MLP fixed rate Senior Secured Notes ("MLP Senior Secured Notes"), issued in April 1996, with the proceeds expected from \$170,000,000 of MLP fixed rate Senior Notes. The Partnership anticipates that it will recognize an approximate \$7,100,000 charge to earnings related to the premium and other costs incurred to redeem the notes plus the write-off of financing costs related to the original issuance of the MLP Senior Secured Notes. The MLP Senior Secured Notes are secured by the MLP's partnership interest in the OLP. The MLP Senior Secured Notes bear interest from the date of issuance, payable semi-annually in arrears on June 15 and December 15 of each year.
- (3) The OLP fixed rate Senior Notes ("\$184 million Senior Notes"), issued in February 2000, are general unsecured obligations of the OLP and rank on an equal basis in right of payment with all senior indebtedness of the OLP and senior to all subordinated indebtedness of the OLP. The outstanding principal amount of the Series A, B and C Notes are due on August 1, 2006, 2007 and 2009, respectively. In general, the OLP does not have the option to prepay the Notes prior to maturity without incurring prepayment penalties.

At July 31, 2002, the unsecured \$157,000,000 Credit Facility (the "Credit Facility"), expiring June 2003, consisted of a \$117,000,000 unsecured working capital, general corporate and acquisition facility, including a letter of credit facility, and a \$40,000,000 revolving working capital facility. This \$40,000,000 facility is subject to an annual reduction in outstanding balances to zero for thirty consecutive days. All borrowings under the Credit Facility bear interest, at the borrower's option, at a rate equal to either a) LIBOR plus an applicable margin varying from 1.25% to 2.25% or, b) the bank's base rate plus an applicable margin varying from 0.25% to 1.25%. The bank's base rate at July 31, 2002 and 2001 was 4.75% and 6.75%, respectively. In addition, a commitment fee is payable on the daily unused portion of the credit facility (generally a per annum rate of 0.0375% at July 31, 2002).

The Partnership had no short-term borrowings outstanding under the credit facility at July 31, 2002 and 2001. Letters of credit outstanding, used primarily to secure obligations under certain insurance arrangements, totaled \$40,614,000 and \$46,660,000, respectively. At July 31, 2002, the Partnership had \$116,386,000 of funding available. The Partnership incurred commitment fees of \$445,000 and \$460,000 in fiscal 2002 and 2001, respectively. Effective July 16, 2001, the credit facility was amended to increase the letter of credit sub-facility availability from \$60,000,000 to \$80,000,000.

Effective April 27, 2000, the MLP entered into an interest rate swap agreement with Bank of America, related to the semi-annual interest payment due on the MLP Senior Secured notes. The swap agreement, which was terminated at the option of the counterparty on June 15, 2001, required the counterparty to pay the stated fixed interest rate every six months. In exchange, the MLP was required to make quarterly floating interest rate payments based on an annual interest rate equal to the three month LIBOR interest rate plus 1.655% applied to the same notional amount of \$160,000,000. The Partnership resumed paying the stated fixed interest rate effective after June 15, 2001.

On December 17, 1999, in connection with the purchase of Thermogas, LLC ("Thermogas acquisition") (see Note P), the OLP assumed a \$183,000,000 loan that was originally issued by Thermogas, LLC ("Thermogas") and had a maturity date of June 30, 2000. On February 28, 2000, the OLP issued \$184,000,000 of Senior Notes at an average interest rate of 8.8% in order to refinance the \$183,000,000 loan. The additional \$1,000,000 in borrowings was used to fund debt issuance costs.

The MLP Senior Secured Notes, the \$350 million and \$184 million Senior Notes and the Credit Facility agreement contain various restrictive covenants applicable to the MLP and OLP and its subsidiaries, the most restrictive relating to additional indebtedness. In addition, the Partnership is prohibited from making cash distributions of the Minimum Quarterly Distribution if a default or event of default exists or would exist upon making such distribution, or if the Partnership fails to meet certain coverage tests. The Partnership is in compliance with all requirements, tests, limitations and covenants related to these debt agreements.

The scheduled annual principal payments on long-term debt are to be \$2,319,000 in 2003, \$2,134,000 in 2004, \$2,299,000 in 2005, \$271,313,000 in 2006, \$59,039,000 in 2007 and \$369,073,000 thereafter.

I. Partners' Capital

On July 31, 2002, the Partnership's capital consisted of 2,782,211 senior units, 36,081,203 common units, and 392,556 general partner units which equal a 1% General Partner interest. The Partnership Agreement contains specific provisions for the allocation of net earnings and loss to each of the partners for purposes of maintaining the partner capital accounts.

In connection with the Thermogas acquisition on December 17, 1999 (See Note P), the Partnership issued 4,375,000 senior units to a subsidiary of The Williams Companies, Inc. ("Williams"). Ferrellgas, Inc. contributed \$1,768,000 to Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. and \$1,803,000 to Ferrellgas, L.P. in order to maintain its 1% and 1.0101% general partner interest in each respective entity. On April 6, 2001, an entity owned by James E. Ferrell, the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of the General Partner, purchased all senior units held by Williams, who prior to the transaction agreed to certain modifications to the senior units. See Note A for more information on the modifications to the senior units.

The Partnership maintains shelf registration statements for common units representing limited partner interests in the Partnership. One of the shelf registration statements allows for common units to be issued from time to time by the Partnership in connection with the Partnership's acquisition of other businesses, properties or securities in business combination transactions. The Partnership also maintains another shelf registration statement for the issuance of common units, deferred participation units, warrants and debt securities. The Partnership Agreement allows the General Partner to issue an unlimited number of additional Partnership general and limited interests and other equity securities of the Partnership for such consideration and on such terms and conditions as shall be established by the General Partner without the approval of any unitholders. On June 8, 2001, the Partnership received \$84,865,000 net of issuance costs pursuant to the issuance of 4,500,000 common units to the public. The Partnership then used these proceeds to redeem 2,048,697 senior units and related accrued but unpaid distributions. These common units issued to the public on June 8, 2001, were entitled to the same distribution to be paid to the already outstanding publicly held common units for the quarter ended July 31, 2001. The Partnership also made redemptions of 37,915 senior units in July 2001 and 19,411 in February 2002. The Partnership issued 55,350 and 101,250 common units during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively, pursuant to the unit option plan (see Note N). The Partnership issued 117,487 common units as part of the purchase price of acquisitions during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2002.

During 1994, the Partnership issued subordinated units, all of which were held by Ferrell for which there was no established public trading market. Effective August 1, 1999, the subordinated units were converted to common units because certain financial tests, which were primarily related to making the minimum quarterly distribution on all units, were satisfied for each of the three consecutive four quarter periods ended July 31, 1999.

J. Derivatives

SFAS No. 133 "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities", as amended by SFAS No. 137 and SFAS No. 138, requires all derivatives (with certain exceptions), whether designated in hedging relationships or not, to be recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at fair value. As a result of implementing SFAS No. 133 at the beginning of fiscal 2001, the Partnership recognized in its first quarter of fiscal 2001, gains totaling \$709,000 and \$299,000 in accumulated other comprehensive income and the Consolidated Statements of Earnings, respectively. In addition, beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2001, the Partnership recorded subsequent changes in the fair value of positions qualifying as cash flow hedges in accumulated other comprehensive income and changes in the fair value of other positions in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings. The Partnership's overall objective for entering into derivative contracts for the purchase of product is related to hedging, risk reduction and to anticipate market movements. Other derivatives are entered into to reduce interest rate risk associated with long term debt and lease obligations. Fair value hedges are derivative financial instruments that hedge the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset or a liability or an identified portion thereof attributable to a particular risk. Cash flow hedges are derivative financial instruments that hedge the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows attributable to a particular risk. The Partnership uses cash flow hedges to manage exposures to interest rate risks.

Fluctuations in the wholesale cost of propane expose the Partnership to purchase price risk. The Partnership purchases propane at various prices that are eventually sold to its customers, exposing the Partnership to future product price fluctuations. Also, certain forecasted transactions expose the Partnership to purchase price risk. The Partnership monitors its purchase price exposures and utilizes product hedges to mitigate the risk of future price fluctuations. Propane is the only product hedged with the use of product hedge positions. The Partnership uses derivative contracts to hedge a portion of its forecasted purchases for up to one year in the future. These derivatives are designated as cash flow hedging instruments. Because these derivatives are designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portions of changes in the fair value of the derivatives are recorded in other comprehensive income (OCI) and are recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings when the forecasted transaction impacts earnings. The \$136,000 risk management fair value adjustment classified as other comprehensive income in the Consolidated Statements of Partners' Capital at July 31, 2002, will be recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings during fiscal 2003. Changes in the fair value of cash flow hedges due to hedge ineffectiveness, if any, are recognized in cost of product sold on the Consolidated Statements of Earnings. The fair value of the derivatives related to purchase price risk are classified on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as inventories.

Through its risk management trading activities, the Partnership also purchases and sells derivatives that are not designated as accounting hedges to manage other risks associated with commodity prices. Emerging Issues Task Force issue 98-10 "Accounting for Contracts Involved in Energy Trading and Risk Management Activities" applies to these activities. The types of contracts utilized in these activities include energy commodity forward contracts, options and swaps traded on the over-the-counter financial markets, and futures and options traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange. The Partnership utilizes published settlement prices

for exchange traded contracts, quotes provided by brokers and estimates of market prices based on daily contract activity to estimate the fair value of these contracts. The changes in fair value of these risk management trading activities are recognized as they occur in cost of product sold in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings. During fiscal years ended July 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, the Partnership recognized risk management trading gains (losses) related to derivatives not designated as accounting hedges of \$(6,148,000), \$23,320,000, and \$28,413,000, respectively.

Estimates related to our risk management trading activities are sensitive to uncertainty and volatility inherent in the energy commodities markets and actual results could differ from these estimates. Assuming a hypothetical 10% adverse change in prices for the delivery month of all energy commodities, the potential loss in future earnings of such a change is estimated at \$1,100,000 for risk management trading activities as of July 31, 2002. The preceding hypothetical analysis is limited because changes in prices may or may not equal 10%.

The following table summarizes the change in the unrealized fair value of contracts from risk management trading activities for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2002 and 2001. This table summarizes the contracts where settlement has not yet occurred.

(in thousands)	Fiscal year ended July 31,	
	2002	2001
Unrealized (losses) in fair value of contracts outstanding at beginning of year Unrealized gains and (losses) recognized at inception Unrealized gains and (losses) recognized as a result of changes in	\$(12,587) -	\$ (359) -
valuation techniques or assumptions Other unrealized gains and (losses) recognized	- (6,148)	- 23,320
Less: realized gains and (losses) recognized	(14,166)	35,548
Unrealized (losses) in fair value of contracts outstanding at end of year	\$ (4,569) ======	\$ (12,587) =======

The following table summarizes the maturity of these contracts for the valuation methodologies we utilize as of July 31, 2002 and 2001. This table summarizes the contracts where settlement has not yet occurred.

(in thousands)	Fair Value of Contracts at Period-End	
Source of Fair Value	Maturity less than 1 year	Maturity greater than 1 year and less than 18 months
Prices actively quoted Prices provided by other external sources Prices based on models and other valuation methods	\$ (328) (4,225) -	\$ - (16) -
Unrealized (losses) in fair value of contracts outstanding at July 31, 2002	\$(4,553) ======	\$ (16) =======
Prices actively quoted Prices provided by other external sources Prices based on models and other valuation methods	\$(2,535) (4,061)	\$ - (5,991) -
Unrealized (losses) in fair value of contracts outstanding at July 31, 2001	\$(6,596) ======	\$(5,991)

The following table summarizes the gross transaction volumes in barrels (one barrel equals 42 gallons) for risk management trading contracts that were physically settled for the years ended July 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000:

(in thousands)
Fiscal year ended July 31, 2002
Fiscal year ended July 31, 2001
Fiscal year ended July 31, 2000
Fiscal year ended July 31, 2000
42,284

The Partnership also uses forward contracts, not designated as accounting hedges under SFAS No. 133, to help reduce the price risk related to sales made to its propane customers. These forward contracts meet the requirement to qualify as normal purchases and sales as defined in SFAS No. 133, as amended by SFAS No. 137 and SFAS No. 138, and thus are not adjusted to fair market value.

As of July 31, 2002, the Partnership holds \$706,177,000 in primarily fixed rate debt and \$156,000,000 in variable rate operating leases. Fluctuations in interest rates subject the Partnership to interest rate risk. Decreases in interest rates increase the fair value of the Partnership's fixed rate debt, while increases in interest rates subject the Partnership to the risk of increased interest expense related to its variable rate debt and operating leases.

The Partnership enters into fair value and cash flow hedges to help reduce its overall interest rate risk. Interest rate swaps were used to hedge the exposure to changes in the fair value of fixed rate debt due to changes in interest rates. The fair value of interest rate derivatives that are considered fair value or cash flow hedges are classified either as other current or long-term assets or as other current or long-term liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Changes in the fair value of the fixed rate debt and any related fair value hedges are recognized as they occur in interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings. There were no such fair value hedges outstanding at July 31, 2002. Interest rate caps are used to hedge the risk associated with rising interest rates and their effect on forecasted transactions related to variable rate debt and lease obligations. These interest rate caps are designated as cash flow hedges and are outstanding at July 31, 2002. Thus, the effective portions of changes in the fair value of the hedges are recorded in OCI at interim periods and are recognized as interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings when the forecasted transaction impacts earnings. Cash flow hedges are assumed to hedge the risk of changes in cash flows of the hedged risk.

K. Transactions with Related Parties

The Partnership has no employees and is managed and controlled by the General Partner. Pursuant to the Partnership Agreement, the General Partner is entitled to reimbursement for all direct and indirect expenses incurred or payments it makes on behalf of the Partnership, and all other necessary or appropriate expenses allocable to the Partnership or otherwise reasonably incurred by the General Partner in connection with operating the Partnership's business. These costs, which totaled \$197,863,000, \$194,519,000, and \$179,033,000 for the years ended July 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively, include compensation and benefits paid to officers and employees of the General Partner and general and administrative costs.

On December 12, 2001, the Partnership issued 37,487 common units to Ferrell Propane, Inc., a subsidiary of the General Partner in connection with the acquisition of Blue Flame Bottle Gas (see Note P). The common unit issuance compensated Ferrell Propane for its retention of \$725,000 of certain tax liabilities of Blue Flame.

During fiscal 2000, Williams became a related party to the Partnership due to the Partnership's issuance of 4,375,000 senior units to a subsidiary of Williams as part of the Thermogas acquisition (See Notes I and P). In a noncash transaction, during fiscal 2001 and 2000, the Partnership paid quarterly senior unit distributions to Williams of \$11,108,000 and \$9,422,000, respectively, using additional senior units. In April 2001, Williams sold all its senior units to JEF Capital Management, Inc., an entity owned by James E. Ferrell, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of the General Partner, and thereafter, ceased to be a related party of the Partnership. During fiscal 2001 and 2000, the Partnership recognized wholesale sales to Williams of \$493,000 and \$2,091,000, respectively. In connection with its normal purchasing and risk management activities, the Partnership entered into, with Williams as a counterparty, certain purchase, forward, futures, option and swap contracts. During fiscal 2001 and 2000 the Partnership recognized a net increase (decrease) to cost of sales of \$(4,456,000) and \$3,645,000, respectively, related to these activities.

During fiscal 2000, Williams provided propane supply and general and administrative services to the Partnership to assist in the integration of the acquisition. The Partnership paid \$67,547,000, \$4,062,000 and \$176,000 to Williams in fiscal 2000 and classified these costs to cost of product sold, general and administrative expenses and operating expenses, respectively.

On April 6, 2001, Williams approved amendments to the MLP partnership agreement related to certain terms of the senior units. Williams then sold all of the senior units for a purchase price of \$195,529,000 plus accrued and unpaid distributions to JEF Capital Management. The senior units currently have all the same terms and preference rights in distributions and liquidation as when the units were owned by Williams.

During fiscal 2001, the Partnership paid to JEF Capital Management \$83,464,000 to redeem a total of 2,086,612 senior units and \$5,750,000 in senior unit distributions. During fiscal 2002, the Partnership paid JEF Capital Management \$776,445 to redeem a total of 19,411 senior units and \$11,192,000 in senior unit distributions. In a noncash transaction, the Partnership accrued a senior unit distribution of \$2,782,211 that will be paid to JEF Capital Management on September 13, 2002.

Ferrell International Limited, FI Trading, Inc. and Ferrell Resources, LLC are beneficially owned by James E. Ferrell and thus are affiliates of the Partnership. The Partnership enters into transactions with Ferrell International Limited and FI Trading in connection with its risk management activities and does so at market prices in accordance with an affiliate trading policy approved by the General Partner's Board of Directors. These transactions include forward, option and swap contracts and are all reviewed for compliance with the policy. During fiscal 2002, 2001 and 2000, the Partnership recognized net receipts (disbursements) from purchases, sales and commodity derivative transactions of \$10,692,000, \$(28,140,000), and \$(8,508,000), respectively. These net purchases, sales and commodity derivative transactions with Ferrell International Limited and FI Trading, Inc. are classified as cost of product sold. Amounts due from Ferrell International Limited at July 31, 2002 and 2001 were \$396,000 and \$0, respectively. Amounts due to Ferrell International Limited at July 31, 2002 and 2001 were \$266,000 and \$0, respectively.

During fiscal 2002, 2001 and 2000, Ferrell International Limited, FI Trading, Inc. and Ferrell Resources, LLC paid the Partnership a total of \$40,000, \$40,000, and \$313,000, respectively, for accounting and administration services.

The Partnership also leased propane tanks from Ferrell Propane, Inc., a subsidiary of the General Partner from October 1998 until February 2002, at which time, Ferrell Propane sold all its tanks to an unrelated entity. The Partnership recognized \$300,000, \$515,000, and \$515,000 of lease expense during fiscal years 2002, 2001, and 2000.

Contingencies and Commitments

The Partnership is threatened with or named as a defendant in various lawsuits that, among other items, claim damages for product liability. It is not possible to determine the ultimate disposition of these matters; however, management is of the opinion that there are no known claims or contingent claims that will have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of the Partnership. Currently, the Partnership is not a party to any legal proceedings other than various claims and lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of business.

On December 6, 1999, the OLP entered into, with Banc of America Leasing & Capital LLC, a \$25,000,000 operating lease involving the sale-leaseback of a portion of the OLP's customer tanks. This operating lease has a term that expires June 30, 2003 and may be extended for two additional one-year periods at the option of the OLP, if such extension is approved by the lessor. On December 17, 1999, immediately prior to the closing of the Thermogas acquisition (See Note P), Thermogas entered into, with Banc of America Leasing & Capital LLC, a \$135,000,000 operating lease involving a portion of its customer tanks. In connection with the Thermogas acquisition, the OLP assumed all obligations under the \$135,000,000 operating lease, which has terms and conditions similar to the December 6, 1999, \$25,000,000 operating lease discussed above. Prior to the end of these lease terms, the Partnership intends to secure additional financing in order to purchase the related customer tanks. No assurances can be given that such financing will be obtained or, if obtained, such financing will be on terms equally favorable to the Partnership.

Effective June 2, 2000, the OLP entered into an interest rate cap agreement ("Cap Agreement") with Bank of America, related to variable quarterly rent payments due pursuant to two operating tank lease agreements. The variable quarterly rent payments are determined based upon a floating LIBOR based interest rate. The Cap Agreement, which expires June 30, 2003, requires Bank of America to pay the OLP at the end of each March, June, September and December the excess, if any, of the applicable three month floating LIBOR interest rate over 9.3%, the cap, applied to the total obligation due each quarter under the two operating tank lease agreements. The total obligation under these two operating tank lease agreements as of July 31, 2002 and 2001 was \$156,000,000 and \$157,600,000, respectively.

The 2,782,211 senior units outstanding as of July 31, 2002 have a liquidating value of \$40 per unit or \$111,288,000. The senior units are redeemable by the Partnership at any time, in whole or in part, upon payment in cash of the liquidating value of the senior units, currently \$40 per unit, plus the amount of any accrued and unpaid distributions. The holder of the senior units has the right, subject to certain events and conditions, to convert any outstanding senior units into common units at the earlier of December 31, 2005 or upon the occurrence of a material event as defined by the Partnership Agreement. Such conversion rights are contingent upon the Partnership not previously redeeming such securities.

Certain property and equipment is leased under noncancelable operating leases which require fixed monthly rental payments and which expire at various dates through 2020. Rental expense under these leases totaled \$36,959,000, \$42,420,000, and \$35,292,000 for the years ended July 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively. Future minimum lease commitments for such leases in the next five years, including the aforementioned operating tank leases, are \$26,986,000 in 2003, \$13,478,000 in 2004, \$10,223,000 in 2005, \$8,228,000 in 2006 and \$5,020,000 in 2007.

In addition to the future minimum lease commitments, the Partnership plans to purchase vehicles and computers at the end of their lease terms totaling \$158,577,000 in 2003, \$4,738,000 in 2004, \$4,105,000 in 2005, \$2,076,000 in 2006 and \$6,944,000 in 2007. The Partnership intends to renew other vehicle, tank and computer leases that would have had buyouts of \$5,039,000 in 2003 and \$311,000 in 2004.

M. Employee Benefits

The Partnership has no employees and is managed and controlled by the General Partner. The Partnership assumes all liabilities, which include specific liabilities related to the following employee benefit plans for the benefit of the officers and employees of the General Partner.

Ferrell makes contributions to the ESOT which causes a portion of the shares of Ferrell owned by the ESOT to be allocated to employees' accounts over time. The allocation of Ferrell shares to employee accounts causes a non-cash compensation charge to be incurred by the Partnership, equivalent to the fair value of such shares allocated. This non-cash compensation charge is reported separately in the Partnership's Consolidated Statements of Earnings and thus excluded from operating and general and administrative expenses. The non-cash compensation charge has increased from fiscal 2000 to fiscal 2001 primarily due to the effect of employees added to the company from the Thermogas acquisition (see Note P). This charge increased from fiscal 2001 to fiscal 2002 primarily due to the increase in the fair value of the Ferrell shares allocated to employees. The Partnership is not obligated to fund or make contributions to the ESOT.

The General Partner and its parent, Ferrell, have a defined contribution profit-sharing plan which includes both profit sharing and matching contributions. The plan covers substantially all employees with more than one year of service. With the establishment of the ESOP in July 1998, the Company suspended future profit sharing contributions to the plan beginning with fiscal year 1998. The plan, which qualifies under section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, also provides for matching contributions under a cash or deferred arrangement based upon participant salaries and employee contributions to the plan. Unlike the profit sharing contributions, these matching contributions were not eliminated with the establishment of the ESOP. Contributions for the years ended July 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, were \$2,773,000, \$3,235,000, and \$2,869,000, respectively, under the 401(k) provisions.

The General Partner has a defined benefit plan that provides participants who were covered under a previously terminated plan with a guaranteed retirement benefit at least equal to the benefit they would have received under the terminated plan. Until July 31, 1999, benefits under the terminated plan were determined by years of credited service and salary levels. As of July 31, 1999, years of credited service and salary levels were frozen. The General Partner's funding policy for this plan is to contribute amounts deductible for Federal income tax purposes and invest the plan assets primarily in corporate stocks and bonds, U.S. Treasury bonds and short-term cash investments. As of July 31, 2002 and 2001, other comprehensive income was reduced and other liabilities were increased \$527,000 and \$2,092,000, respectively because the accumulated benefit obligation of this plan exceeded the fair value of plan assets.

N. Unit Options of the Partnership and Stock Options of Ferrell Companies, Inc.

Prior to April 19, 2001, the Second Amended and Restated Ferrellgas Unit Option Plan (the "unit option plan") authorized the issuance of options (the "unit options") covering up to 850,000 of the MLP's common units to employees of the General Partner or its affiliates. Effective April 19, 2001, the unit option plan was amended to authorize the issuance of options covering an additional 500,000 common units. The unit option plan is intended to meet the requirements of the New York Stock Exchange equity holder approval policy for option plans not approved by the equity holders of a company, and thus approval of the plan from the unitholders of the MLP was not required. The Board of Directors of the General Partner administers the unit option plan, authorizes grants of unit options thereunder and sets

the unit option price and vesting terms of unit options in accordance with the terms of the unit option plan. No single officer or director of the General Partner may acquire more than 314,895 common units under the unit option plan. The unit options outstanding as of July 31, 2002, are exercisable at exercise prices ranging from \$16.80 to \$21.67 per unit, which was an estimate of the fair market value of the units at the time of the grant. In general, the options currently outstanding under the unit option plan vest over a five-year period, and expire on the tenth anniversary of the date of the grant.

	Number	Weighted	Weighted
	Of	Average	Average
	Units	Exercise Price	Fair Value
Outstanding, August 1, 1999	782,025	\$18.23	\$ -
Granted	-	-	
Forfeited	(60,500)	19.38	
Outstanding, July 31, 2000	721,525	18.13	2.56
Granted	651,000	17.90	
Exercised	(101,250)	16.80	
Forfeited	(42,075)	19.27	
Outstanding, July 31, 2001 Granted Exercised Forfeited	1,229,200 (55,350) (98,450)	18.08 - 16.80 18.04	-
Outstanding, July 31, 2002	1,075,400	18.15	
Options exercisable, July 31, 2002	594,725 	18.25	

Options Outstanding at July 31, 2002

Range of option prices at end of year Weighted average remaining contractual life

\$16.80-\$21.67 6.2 Years

The Ferrell Companies Incentive Compensation Plan (the "ICP") was established by Ferrell to allow upper middle and senior level managers of the General Partner to participate in the equity growth of Ferrell. The shares underlying the stock options are common shares of Ferrell, therefore, there is no potential dilution of the Partnership. The Ferrell ICP stock options vest ratably in 5% to 10% increments over 12 years or 100% upon a change of control of Ferrell, or the death, disability or retirement at the age of 65 of the participant. Vested options are exercisable in increments based on the timing of the payoff of Ferrell debt, but in no event later than 20 years from the date of issuance.

The Partnership accounts for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method prescribed in APB No. 25 and related Interpretations. Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for the unit option plan, or for the ICP. Had compensation cost for these plans been determined based upon the fair value at the grant date for awards under these plans, consistent with the methodology prescribed under SFAS No. 123, the Partnership's net income (loss) and earnings (loss) per unit would have been adjusted as noted in the table below:

(in thousands, except per unit amounts)	2002	2001	2000
Net earnings (loss) available to common unitholders			
as reported	\$48,299	\$45,594	\$(10,146)
Pro forma adjustment	(10)	(498)	(79)
Net earnings (loss) available to common unitholders			
as adjusted	\$48,289	\$45,096	\$(10,225)
Pro forma basic and diluted net earnings	*		* (2.22)
(loss) per common unit	\$1.34 ======	\$ 1.41 =======	\$ (0.32) ======

The fair value of the unit options granted during fiscal 2001 was determined using a binomial option valuation model with the following assumptions: a) distribution amount of \$0.50 per unit per quarter, b) average common unit price volatility of 23.2%, c) the risk-free interest rate used was 4.4%, and d) the expected life of the option used was five years. The fair value of the Ferrell Companies, Inc. ICP stock options granted during the 2002, 2001 and 2000 fiscal years were determined using a binomial option valuation model with the following assumptions: a) no dividends, b) average stock price volatility of 19.2%, 13.2% and 10.1% used in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively, c) the risk-free interest rate used was 4.3%, 5.2% and 6.4% in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively and d) expected life of the options between five and 12 years.

D. Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amount of short-term financial instruments approximates fair value because of the short maturity of the instruments. The estimated fair value of the Partnership's long-term financial instruments was \$710,228,000 and \$681,060,000 as of July 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The fair value is estimated based on quoted market prices.

Interest Rate Collar, Cap and Swap Agreements. The Partnership from time to time has entered into various interest rate collar, cap and swap agreements involving, among others, the exchange of fixed and floating interest payment obligations without the exchange of the underlying principal amounts. During fiscal 2001, an interest rate collar agreement expired and a swap agreement was terminated by a counterparty. As of July 31, 2002, an interest rate cap agreement with a counterparty who is a large financial institution remained in place. The fair value of this interest rate cap agreement at July 31, 2002 and 2001 was de minimis.

P. Business Combinations

During the year ended July 31, 2002, the Partnership acquired three retail propane businesses with an aggregate value at \$10,790,000.

- o Blue Flame Bottle Gas, based in southern Arizona
- o Alabama Butane Co., based in central and south Alabama
- o Alma Farmers Union Co-op, based in western Wisconsin

These purchases were funded by \$6,294,000 of cash payments and, in noncash transactions, the issuance of 117,487 common units valued at an aggregate of \$2,325,000, and \$2,171,000 of notes payable to the seller. The aggregate value was allocated as follows: \$7,064,000 for fixed assets such as customer tanks, buildings and land, \$2,671,000 for non-compete agreements, \$1,195,000 for customer lists, \$32,000 for other assets and \$(172,000) for net working capital. Net working capital was comprised of \$556,000 of current assets and \$728,000 of current liabilities. The weighted average amortization period for non-compete agreements and customer lists are five and 15 years, respectively.

During the year ended July 31, 2001, the Partnership made acquisitions of three businesses with an aggregate value at \$418,000. The purchases were funded by \$200,000 of cash payments and, in a non-cash transaction, the issuance of \$218,000 of notes payable to the seller. Non-compete agreements and customer lists were assigned values of \$228,000 and \$4,000, respectively.

On December 17, 1999, the Partnership purchased Thermogas from a subsidiary of Williams. At closing the Partnership entered into the following noncash transactions: a) issued \$175,000,000 in senior units to the seller, b) assumed a \$183,000,000 loan, (see Note H) and c) assumed a \$135,000,000 operating lease (see Note L). After the conclusion of these acquisition-related transactions, including the merger of the OLP and Thermogas, the Partnership acquired \$61,842,000 of cash, which remained on the Thermogas balance sheet at the acquisition date. The Partnership paid \$17,146,000 in additional costs and fees related to the acquisition. As part of the Thermogas acquisition, the OLP agreed to reimburse Williams for the value of working capital received by the Partnership in excess of \$9,147,500. On June 6, 2000, the OLP and Williams agreed upon the amount of working capital that was acquired by the Partnership on December 17, 1999. The OLP reimbursed Williams \$5,652,500 as final settlement of this working capital reimbursement obligation. In fiscal 2000, the Partnership had accrued \$7,033,000 in involuntary employee termination benefits and exit costs, which it expected to incur within twelve months from the acquisition date as it implemented the integration of the Thermogas operations. This accrual included \$5,870,000 of termination benefits and \$1,163,000 of costs to exit Thermogas activities. The Partnership paid \$2,788,000 and \$1,306,000 for termination benefits and \$491,000 and \$890,000 for exit termination benefits and exit costs was reduced in fiscal 2001 by \$1,558,000 as an adjustment to goodwill.

Prior to the issuance of SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations," the total assets contributed to the OLP (at the Partnership's cost basis) were allocated as follows: (a) working capital of \$16,870,000, (b) property, plant and equipment of \$140,284,000, (c) \$60,200,000 to customer list with an estimated useful life of 15 years, (d) \$9,600,000 to assembled workforce with an estimated useful life of 15 years, (e) \$3,071,000 to non-compete agreements with an estimated useful life ranging from one to seven years, and (f) \$86,475,000 to goodwill at an estimated useful life of 15 years. The transaction was accounted for as a purchase and, accordingly, the results of operations of Thermogas have been included in the Consolidated Financial Statements from the date of acquisition. Pursuant to the implementation of SFAS No. 141, assembled workforce was considered an acquired intangible asset that did not meet the criteria for recognition apart from goodwill. Effective August 1, 2000, the \$8,221,000 carrying value of assembled workforce was reclassified to goodwill.

The following pro forma financial information assumes that the Thermogas acquisition occurred as of August 1, 1999 (unaudited):

(in thousands, except per unit amounts)	For the year ended July 31, 2000
Total revenues	\$1,055,031
Net loss	(18,609)
Common unitholders' interest in net loss	(18, 423)
Basic and diluted loss per common unit	\$ (0.59)

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2000, the Partnership made acquisitions of two other businesses with an aggregate value of \$7,183,000, in addition to the Thermogas acquisition. These purchases were funded by \$6,338,000 of cash payments and the following noncash transactions: the issuance of \$601,000 of notes payable to the seller, \$46,000 of common units and \$198,000 of other costs and consideration. Customer lists and non-compete agreements were assigned values of \$2,056,000 and \$601,000, respectively.

All transactions were accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, the results of operations of all acquisitions have been included in the Consolidated Financial Statements from their dates of acquisition. The pro forma effect of these transactions, except those related to the Thermogas acquisition, was not material to the results of operations.

Q. Earnings Per Common Unit

In fiscal 2002, 71,253 unit options were considered dilutive, however, these additional units caused less than a \$0.01 change between the basic and dilutive earnings per unit. In fiscal 2001 and 2000, the unit options were antidilutive. Below is a calculation of the basic and diluted earnings per unit on the Consolidated Statements of Earnings. For diluted earnings per unit purposes, the senior units were excluded as they are considered contingently issuable common units for which all necessary conditions for their issuance have not been satisfied as of the end of the reporting period. In order to compute the basic and diluted earnings per common unit, the distributions on senior units are subtracted from net earnings to compute net earnings available to common unitholders.

(in thousands, except per unit data)

	For the year ended July 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
Net earnings (loss) available to common unitholders	\$48,299	\$45,594 	\$(10,146)
Weighted average common units outstanding	36,022.3	31,987.3	31,306.7
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common unit	\$ 1.34 ======	\$ 1.43 ======	\$ (0.32) ======

R. Quarterly Data (unaudited)

The following summarized unaudited quarterly data includes all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) which we consider necessary for a fair presentation. Due to the seasonality of the retail distribution of propane, first and fourth quarter revenues, gross profit and net earnings are consistently less than the second and third quarter results. Other factors affecting the results of operations include competitive conditions, demand for product, timing of acquisitions, variations in the weather and fluctuations in propane prices. The sum of net earnings (loss) per common unit by quarter may not equal the net earnings (loss) per common unit for the year due to variations in the weighted average units outstanding used in computing such amounts.

(in thousands, except per unit data) Fiscal year ended July 31, 2002

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Davieruse	#0.4F 0.40	#0FF 700	#007 464	#4.4C CE.4
Revenues	\$245,243	\$355,738	\$287,161	\$146,654
Gross profit	95,296	179,147	152,521	74,395
Net earnings (loss)	(13,502)	68,188	36,635	(31,362)
Net earnings (loss) per:	, , ,	,	,	, , ,
common unit - basic	(0.45)	1.80	0.93	(0.94)
Net earnings (loss) per:				, ,
common unit - diluted	(0.45)	1.80	0.93	(0.93)
Fiscal year ended July 31, 2001	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Revenues	\$288,461	\$641,817	\$384,393	\$153,999
		. ,	. ,	. ,
Gross profit	92,141	234,150	152,801	59,461
Net earnings (loss)	(17,565)	94,948	30,402	(43,717)
Net earnings (loss) per common unit - basic and				
diluted	(0.70)	2.85	0.81	(1.38)
ulluccu	(0.70)	2.03	0.01	(1.50)

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Board of Directors Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp. Liberty, Missouri

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp. (a wholly-owned subsidiary of Ferrellgas Partners, L.P.), as of July 31, 2002, and 2001, and the related statements of earnings, stockholder's equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended July 31, 2002. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp.'s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp. as of July 31, 2002 and 2001, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended July 31, 2002 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP Kansas City, Missouri September 12, 2002

BALANCE SHEETS

	July 31,	
ASSETS	2002	2001
Cash	\$1,000	\$1,000
Total Assets	\$1,000 ======	\$1,000 ======
STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY Common stock, \$1.00 par value; 2,000 shares authorized; 1,000 shares issued and outstanding	\$1,000	\$1,000
Additional paid in capital	2,061	1,662
Accumulated deficit	(2,061)	(1,662)
Total Stockholder's Equity	\$1,000 ======	\$1,000 ======

See notes to financial statements.

F-30

STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

	For the year ended July 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
Revenues	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
General and administrative expense	399	425	463
Net loss	\$ (399) =======	\$ (425) =======	\$ (463) =======

See notes to financial statements.

STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY

	Common stock		Additional Accum- paid in ulated		Total stockholder's	
	Shares	Dollars	capital		equity	
August 1 1000	1 000	#4 000	ф 77 4	ф (774)	#1 000	
August 1, 1999	1,000	\$1,000	\$774	\$ (774)	\$1,000	
Capital contribution	-	-	463	-	463	
Net loss	-	-	-	(463)	(463)	
July 31, 2000	1,000	1,000	1,237	(1,237)	1,000	
Capital contribution	-	-	425	-	425	
Net loss	-	-	-	(425)	(425)	
July 31, 2001	1,000	1,000	1,662	(1,662)	1,000	
Capital contribution	-	-	399	-	399	
Net loss	-	-	-	(399)	(399)	
July 31, 2002	1,000	\$1,000	\$2,061	\$(2,061)	\$1,000	

See notes to financial statements.

F-32

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the year ended July 31,			
	2002	2001	2000	
Cash Flows From Operating Activities: Net loss	\$ (399)	\$ (425)	\$ (463)	
Cash used by operating activities	(399)	(425)	(463)	
Cash Flows From Financing Activities: Capital contribution	399	425	463	
Cash provided by financing activities	399	425	463	
Change in cash Cash - beginning of year	- 1,000	- 1,000	- 1,000	
Cash - end of year	\$1,000 ======	\$1,000 ======	\$1,000 ======	

See notes to financial statements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

A. Formation

Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp. (the "Finance Corp."), a Delaware corporation, was formed on March 28, 1996 and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. (the "Partnership").

The Partnership contributed \$1,000 to the Finance Corp. on April 8, 1996 in exchange for 1,000 shares of common stock.

B. Commitment

On April 26, 1996, the Partnership issued \$160,000,000 of 9 3/8% Senior Secured Notes due 2006 (the "Senior Notes"). The Senior Notes became redeemable at the option of the Partnership, in whole or in part, at any time on or after June 15, 2001. On September 24, 2002, the Partnership has a commitment to redeem the Senior Notes, with the proceeds from \$170,000,000 of newly issued fixed rate senior notes.

Effective April 27, 2000, the Partnership entered into an interest rate swap agreement ("Swap Agreement") with Bank of America, related to the semi-annual interest payment due on the Senior Notes. The Swap Agreement, which was terminated by Bank of America on June 15, 2001, required Bank of America to pay the stated fixed interest rate (annual rate 9 3/8%) pursuant to the Senior Notes equaling \$7,500,000 every six months due on each June 15 and December 15. In exchange, the Partnership was required to make quarterly floating interest rate payments on the 15th of March, June, September and December based on an annual interest rate equal to the 3 month LIBOR interest rate plus 1.655% applied to the same notional amount of \$160,000,000. The Partnership resumed paying the stated fixed interest rate effective June 16, 2001.

The Finance Corp. serves as a co-obligor for the Senior Notes.

C. Income Taxes

Income taxes have been computed as though the Company files its own income tax return. Deferred income taxes are provided as a result of temporary differences between financial and tax reporting using the asset/liability method. Deferred income taxes are recognized for the tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax basis of existing assets and liabilities.

Due to the inability of the Company to utilize the deferred tax benefit of \$821 associated with the current year net operating loss carryforward of \$2,110, which expire at various dates through July 31, 2022, a valuation allowance has been provided on the full amount of the deferred tax asset. Accordingly, there is no net deferred tax benefit for the years ended July 31, 2002, 2001 or 2000, and there is no net deferred tax asset as of July 31, 2002 and 2001.

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

		Page
	rs, L.P. and Subsidiaries ors' Report on Schedules	S-2
Schedule I	Parent Company Only Balance Sheets as of July 31, 2002 and 2001 and Statements of Earnings and Cash Flows for the years ended July 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000	S-3
Schedule II	Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for the years ended July 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000	S-6

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Partners of Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. and Subsidiaries Liberty, Missouri

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. and subsidiaries (the "Partnership") as of July 31, 2002 and 2001, and for each of the three years in the period ended July 31, 2002, and have issued our report thereon dated September 12, 2002. Our audit also included the financial statement schedules listed in Item 15(a)2. These financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Partnership's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP Kansas City, Missouri September 12, 2002

FERRELLGAS PARTNERS, L.P. PARENT ONLY

BALANCE SHEETS (in thousands)

	July 31,	
ASSETS	2002	
Cash and cash equivalents Prepaid expenses and other current assets Investment in Ferrellgas, L.P. Other assets, net	\$ 393 2,079 180,401	\$ 215 147 196,737 3,019
Total Assets	\$183,296 ======	\$200,118
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL Other current liabilities Long term debt	\$ 2,135 160,000	\$ 2,131 160,000
Partners' Capital Senior unitholder Common unitholders General partner Accumulated other comprehensive income	(28,320) (59,035)	112,065 (12,959) (58,738) (2,381)
Total Partners' Capital	21,161	37,987
Total Liabilities and Partners' Capital	\$183,296 ======	\$200,118 ======

FERRELLGAS PARTNERS, L.P. PARENT ONLY

STATEMENT OF EARNINGS (in thousands)

	For the year ended July 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
Equity in earnings of Ferrellgas, L.P. Operating expense	\$ 75,588 2	\$ 81,203	\$ 15,907
Interest expense Other charges	15,583 44	13,858 3,277	15,047 -
Net earnings	\$ 59,959	\$ 64,068	\$ 860

FERRELLGAS PARTNERS, L.P. PARENT ONLY

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in thousands)

	For the year ended July 31,		
		2001	
Cash Flows From Operating Activities: Net earnings Reconciliation of net earnings to net cash used in operating activities:	\$59,959	\$64,068	\$ 860
Amortization of capitalized financing costs Other	192	523 48	-
Equity in earnings of Ferrellgas, L.P. Increase (decrease) in other current liabilities Increase (decrease) in accrued interest expense	(75,588)	(81,203) 289 148	(15,907)
Net cash used in operating activities	(14,918)	(16,127)	(14,715)
Cash Flows From Investing Activities: Distributions received from Ferrellgas, L.P.		83,133	
Net cash provided by investing activities	99,051	83,133	
Cash Flows From Financing Activities: Distributions to partners Issuance of common units, net of issuance costs Redemption of senior units Proceeds from exercise of common unit options Other Net advance from (to) affiliate	- (777) 939	(69,125) 84,865 (83,464) 1,718 (774) (12)	-
Net cash used by financing activities		(66,792)	
Increase in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of year		214 1	1
Cash and cash equivalents - end of year		\$ 215 ======	\$ 1

Schedule II

FERRELLGAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARY

VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS (in thousands)

Description	Balance at beginning of period	Charged to cost/ expenses	Other Additions	Deductions (amounts charged-off)	Balance at end of period
Year ended July 31, 2002					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$3,159	\$1,604	\$0	\$(3,296)	\$1,467
Year ended July 31, 2001					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	2,388	3,029	0	(2,258)	3,159
Year ended July 31, 2000					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	1,296	2,349	0	(1,257)	2,388

AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO RECEIVABLES PURCHASE AGREEMENT

This AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO Receivables PURCHASE Agreement, dated as of September 24, 2002 (this "Amendment"), is entered into by Ferrellgas Receivables, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Seller"), Ferrellgas, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, as "Servicer," Jupiter Securitization Corporation ("Conduit"), and Bank One, NA (Main Office Chicago), individually as a Financial Institution and as Agent for the Purchasers (as heretofore amended, the "Existing Agreement"). The Existing Agreement, as amended hereby, is hereinafter referred to as the "Agreement." Unless defined elsewhere herein, capitalized terms used in this Amendment shall have the meanings assigned to such terms in Exhibit I to the Existing Agreement.

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the parties hereto desire to amend the Existing Agreement as hereinafter set forth;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing premises and the mutual agreements herein contained and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and adequacy of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. Amendments. -----

1.1. The definition of "Liquidity Termination Date" in the Existing Agreement is hereby amended and restated in its entirety to read as follows:

"Liquidity Termination Date" means September 23, 2003.

- 1.2. Section 9.1(k) is hereby amended and restated in its entirety to read as follows:
- (k) (i) As of the last day of any Measurement Period ending in June through and including November, the average of the three Measurement Periods then most recently ended for the Outstanding Balance of all Receivables included in the Purchaser Interests (regardless of whether they are Eligible Receivables on the date of determination) as to which any payment, or part thereof, remains unpaid for 91 days or more from the original due date for such payment shall exceed 22% of the Outstanding Balance of all Receivables, or (ii) as of the last day of any Measurement Period ending in December through and including May, the average of the three Measurement Periods then most recently ended for the Outstanding Balance of all Receivables included in the Purchaser Interests (regardless of whether they are Eligible Receivables on the date of determination) as to which any payment, or part thereof, remains unpaid for 91 days or more from the original due date for such payment shall exceed 16.5% of the Outstanding Balance of all Receivables
- 2. Representations and Warranties. In order to induce the other parties hereto to enter into this Amendment, each of the Buyer and the Originator hereby represents and warrants to each of the other parties hereto as follows:
 - (a) The execution and delivery by such party of this Amendment, and the performance of its obligations under the Agreement as amended hereby, are within such party's organizational powers and authority and have been duly authorized by all necessary organizational action on its part:
 - (b) This Amendment has been duly executed and delivered by such party, and the Agreement, as amended hereby, constitutes such party's legal, valid and binding obligation, enforceable against such party in accordance with its terms, except as such enforcement may be limited by applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization or other similar laws relating to or limiting creditors' rights generally and by general principles of equity (regardless of whether enforcement is sought in a proceeding in equity or at law), and
 - (c) As of the date hereof, no event has occurred and is continuing that will constitute a Termination Event or a Potential Termination Event.
- 3. Conditions Precedent. This Amendment shall become effective as of the date first above written upon execution by the Originator, the Buyer and the Agent of counterparts hereof and delivery of such executed counterparts to the Agent.

4. Miscellaneous.

- (a) CHOICE OF LAW. THIS AMENDMENT SHALL BE GOVERNED AND CONSTRUED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE INTERNAL LAWS (AND NOT THE LAW OF CONFLICTS) OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK.
- (b) Counterparts. This Amendment may be executed in any number of counterparts and by different parties hereto in separate counterparts, each of which when so executed shall be deemed to be an original and all of which when taken together shall constitute one and the same agreement.
- (c) Ratification of Agreement. Except as expressly amended hereby, the Agreement remains unaltered and in full force and effect



IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed and delivered by their duly authorized officers as of the date hereof.

FERRELLGAS, L.P.

BY: FERRELLGAS, INC., its General Partner

By: /s/ Kevin T. Kelly

Name: Kevin T. Kelly Title: Chief Financial Officer

FERRELLGAS RECEIVABLES, LLC

By: /s/ Kevin T. Kelly

Name: Kevin T. Kelly
Title: Chief Financial Officer

BANK ONE, NA [MAIN OFFICE CHICAGO], INDIVIDUALLY AND AS AGENT

By: /s/ Leo V. Loughead

Name: Leo V. Loughead Title: Authorized Signatory

JUPITER SECURITIZATION CORPORATION

By: /s/ Leo V. Loughead

Name: Leo V. Loughead
Title: Authorized Signatory

SUBSIDIARIES OF FERRELLGAS PARTNERS, L.P.

Ferrellgas, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp., a Delaware Corporation

SUBSIDIARIES OF FERRELLGAS, L.P.

bluebuzz.com, Inc., a Delaware Corporation Ferrellgas Receivables, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' CONSENT

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement No. 33-55185 of Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. on Form S-4 to Form S-1, in Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement No. 333-71111 of Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. and Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp. on Form S-3, and in Registration Statements No. 333-87633 and No. 333-84344 of Ferrellgas Partners, L.P. on Form S-8 of our reports dated September 12, 2002, appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Ferrellgas Partners, L. P. and Ferrellgas Partners Finance Corp. for the year ended July 31, 2002.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Kansas City, Missouri October 22, 2002